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Course Objective
The high prevalence of osteoarthritis and its substantial burden at both the individual and healthcare system levels demands sound knowledge and clinical skills in diagnosing and managing the disease. The purpose of this course is to provide healthcare professionals with the information necessary to adequately assess osteoarthritis symptoms, treat osteoarthritis patients based on evidence-based guidelines, and appropriately refer to specialists.

Learning Objectives
Upon completion of this course, you should be able to:

1. Discuss the prevalence of osteoarthritis in the context of demographic variables.
2. Describe what is known about the etiology and pathogenesis of osteoarthritis.
3. List the risk factors for the development of osteoarthritis.
4. Identify the diagnostic criteria for osteoarthritis at various anatomic sites.
5. Describe the roles of radiography and patient-related factors in the diagnosis of osteoarthritis.
6. Recommend lifestyle changes and education strategies that should be incorporated into the osteoarthritis treatment plan.
7. Apply evidence-based guidelines for the appropriate use of oral and topical analgesics to manage osteoarthritis symptoms.
8. Analyze the appropriateness of intra-articular medications for the treatment of osteoarthritis.
9. Discuss alternative therapies that lack evidence to support their routine use in the management of osteoarthritis.
10. Identify operative procedures used to manage osteoarthritis.
INTRODUCTION

Many conditions comprise musculoskeletal diseases, but osteoarthritis is by far the most common joint disorder, particularly osteoarthritis of the knee. The disease exacts a high cost in terms of pain and decreased function. Osteoarthritis is a leading cause of activity limitation and absenteeism among working-age adults and is associated with a significant decline in function among older individuals. The toll of osteoarthritis on the healthcare system is also great, with high rates of physician office visits and hospitalizations, and the burden of the disease is expected to increase.

Osteoarthritis is a complex disease. Its etiology is not completely understood, and its risk factors and clinical and radiographic presentation vary according to the joint site. This complexity creates a challenge for diagnosis and management. Although diagnostic criteria exist, diagnosis can be difficult for a variety of reasons, most notably, a low sensitivity of radiographs in detecting early osteoarthritic changes and the lack of correlation between radiographic evidence of disease and symptoms. As no curative therapy for osteoarthritis is currently available, management is focused on decreasing pain and increasing function. The great range in treatment options has made it difficult to determine which ones are most effective; more than 50 treatment modalities have been addressed in 23 guidelines for the management of knee and hip osteoarthritis alone. Adding to the challenge of selecting appropriate therapy is evolving evidence on the efficacy of specific options; systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled clinical trials have demonstrated that many commonly used treatment options for osteoarthritis offer limited or no benefit. This course addresses osteoarthritis of the most commonly involved joints (knee, hip, and hand), providing important details on risk factors, diagnosis, and the most current evidence-based recommendations for treatment.

SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM

Arthritis and musculoskeletal diseases were, and continue to be, the leading cause of activity limitation across all age groups in the United States (Figure 1) [1; 2; 3]. Osteoarthritis is by far the most common type of arthritis and is one of the leading chronic diseases in the United States, affecting an estimated 27 million individuals 25 years of age and older and nearly 50% of people by 85 years of age [4; 285]. In addition, the prevalence of the condition is rapidly increasing; from 1997 to 2009, the prevalence increased 95% overall and 151% among individuals 45 to 64 years of age [5]. It is the leading cause of chronic disability in individuals older than 70 years [6]. This exponential rise is unique to osteoarthritis, as there have not been similar increases in the prevalence of other types of joint diseases [5].

Osteoarthritis exacts a cost in terms of pain, limited mobility, and decreased function among a wide range of individuals. Among working-age individuals, arthritis is a leading cause of activity limitation and absenteeism [2; 7]. For the older population, osteoarthritis is associated with a significant decline in function and causes a higher rate of disability than any other chronic condition, including cardiovascular disease [8; 9].

The toll of osteoarthritis on the healthcare system is also high. Arthritis (all types) is a leading reason for physician office visits, and hospitalizations for osteoarthritis nearly doubled between 2001 and 2013 [5; 10]. It has been noted that the increase in hospitalizations is primarily related to higher rates of joint replacement; specifically, a significant increase in knee and hip replacement surgery [1; 11]. An estimated 704,000 hospitalizations in 2012 were due to osteoarthritis-related knee replacement surgery (compared with 416,000 in 2004), and an estimated 296,000 hospitalizations were for osteoarthritis-related first-time hip replacement in 2012 (compared with 172,000 in 2003) [12].
Osteoarthritis is also a substantial economic burden; according to the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey for the years 1996–2005, osteoarthritis raised aggregate annual medical care expenditures by $185.5 billion ($149.4 billion in insurer expenditures and $36.1 billion in out-of-pocket expenditures) [13; 14]. Data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) indicate that osteoarthritis was the second most expensive condition billed to Medicare ($8 billion) and private insurance ($5.7 billion) in 2011 [15]. The burden of osteoarthritis is expected to increase as the population grows older and lives longer, especially given the high rate of obesity [1; 16].

The high prevalence of osteoarthritis and its substantial burden at both the individual and healthcare system level demands that clinicians have sound knowledge and clinical skills in diagnosing and managing the disease. However, several studies have shown that medical education in musculoskeletal disorders is inadequate, and competency examinations and surveys have shown that medical students and residents lack the necessary knowledge and clinical confidence in this field [17; 18; 19; 20; 21]. As a result, the Association of American Medical Schools has made recommendations for improving the undergraduate medical school curriculum on musculoskeletal diseases [22]. Inadequate education and training in musculoskeletal diseases has left many primary care physicians—often the first ones to...
evaluate individuals with signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis—feeling ill-equipped to manage the disease [19; 23; 24]. This course is designed to help fill this substantial educational gap by providing an overview of the prevalence and natural history of osteoarthritis, details on risk factors for the disease, and a discussion of the evidence base for a wide range of medical treatment options. Because surgical treatment options are not within the purview of primary care physicians, these options will be addressed briefly. The primary focus of this course is osteoarthritis of the knee, hip, and hand, as disease at these joints has the greatest clinical impact and is associated with the greatest public health burden [1; 16]. In addition, most of the literature on osteoarthritis focuses on these joints. Osteoarthritis of other joints—primarily the shoulder, elbow, and ankle—is discussed as appropriate.

OVERVIEW OF OSTEOARTHRITIS

As noted, osteoarthritis develops most frequently in the knee, hip, and hand. Although pain in the lower back and the neck are the most frequently occurring musculoskeletal conditions and are the leading cause of functional limitation and work absences, the etiology of back and neck pain is often unclear, with many cases involving muscles and ligaments rather than osteoarthritic changes [4; 25; 26].

Osteoarthritis is classified as primary or secondary. The cause of primary osteoarthritis is idiopathic; no abnormality is the cause of changes in the joint [6]. Secondary osteoarthritis is the result of a known cause, most often trauma/injury or systemic diseases. Secondary osteoarthritis is most often found in the shoulder, elbow, and ankle and is more likely to become clinically apparent at a younger age than primary osteoarthritis [6; 27; 28; 29]. A population-based study showed that secondary osteoarthritis related to trauma accounts for approximately 12% of the overall prevalence of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee, hip, or ankle [30]. Injuries sustained in sports activities comprise a large portion of post-traumatic osteoarthritis [31]. A wide variety of systemic diseases have been identified as frequent causes of secondary osteoarthritis; these conditions include metabolic diseases, endocrine disorders, bone dysplasias, and crystal deposition diseases (Table 1) [6; 32].

Research has shown that the symptoms of osteoarthritis do not correlate well with its radiographic evidence [16; 36; 37; 38]. According to a systematic literature review, radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis is found in 15% to 76% of individuals with pain, and 15% to 81% of individuals with radiographic evidence of disease have pain [36]. An estimated 40% of individuals with structural changes on radiographs are asymptomatic [36; 37]. In addition, many individuals have joint-related symptoms and no radiographic evidence [4; 6]. As a result of this discordance, the disease is defined as either radiographic (evidence on imaging studies) or symptomatic (frequent pain in a joint plus radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis in that joint) [39]. Total joint replacement is used as a surrogate measure of symptomatic end-stage osteoarthritis, as the procedure is the option chosen when nonoperative measures have failed to manage pain and improve function and mobility.

PREVALENCE

Some large-scale, population-based studies have been used to determine the prevalence of osteoarthritis overall and within demographic subgroups (by age, gender, and race/ethnicity) and according to joint site. Among the most-often cited sources are the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study and the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study involved a cohort of approximately 2,400 adults (26 years of age and older) from the Framingham Heart Study, and osteoarthritis of the knee and hand were evaluated [40; 41]. The Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project was designed to compare the prevalence of knee and hip osteoarthritis in approximately 3,000 white and black men and women (45 years of age and older) in a rural county in North Carolina [42; 43].
In addition, information on the prevalence of osteoarthritis has been gathered through several national surveys, such as the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), the National Hospital Discharge Survey, and the Ambulatory Care Survey. The NHIS is conducted among a cross-section of adults (18 years of age and older) each year. NHANES involves a nationally representative sample of about 5,000 persons each year who are interviewed and physically examined. The National Hospital Discharge Survey and the Ambulatory Care Survey capture the number of specific diagnoses for inpatient stays and outpatient visits, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEMIC CONDITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SECONDARY OSTEOARTHRITIS</th>
<th>Joint Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolic Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemochromatosis</td>
<td>Knee, hip, ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher disease</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobinopathies (e.g., sickle cell disease and thalassemia)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson disease (hepatolenticular degeneration)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochronosis</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (and other joint hypermobility)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avascular necrosis</td>
<td>Hip, ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endocrine Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism (severe stages)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperparathyroidism</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone Dysplasias</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple epiphyseal dysplasia</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondyloepiphyseal dysplasia</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive hereditary arthro-ophthalmopathy (Stickler syndrome)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteo-onychodystrophy (nail-patella syndrome)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiphyses-related conditions</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteochondritis dissecans</td>
<td>Elbow, ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calcium Crystal Deposition Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease</td>
<td>Knee, hip, MCP joint (especially middle and index fingers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite crystal deposition disease</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gout</td>
<td>Hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Systemic Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic arthropathy (Charcot joints)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paget disease (osteitis deformans)</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopetrosis</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrocalcinosis</td>
<td>Hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP = metacarpophalangeal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [6; 27; 33; 34; 35]  

Table 1
Determining the prevalence of osteoarthritis is challenging for several reasons. First, few epidemiologic data are available for specific types of arthritis or joint-specific osteoarthritis, and the questions in surveys such as NHIS and NHANES refer to a single category of arthritis. Given that osteoarthritis has been shown to represent an overwhelming proportion of all types of arthritis, it seems reasonable to expect that osteoarthritis would account for most of the data gathered in a broad “arthritis” category [4]. In addition, although survey questions specifically refer to “doctor-diagnosed” arthritis, survey data have limitations, as they represent self-reports of the disease. Further complicating the situation are the differences across studies in how osteoarthritis is defined—radiographic or symptomatic—and in how radiographic changes are defined—mild or moderate/severe. Also problematic is the lack of correlation between radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis and symptoms and the high number of individuals who do not seek medical care for joint-related symptoms.

Several studies point to a high—and increasing—prevalence of arthritis. Data from the 2010–2012 NHIS showed a prevalence of doctor-diagnosed arthritis of 22.7% in adults, a rate similar to the 21% reported in a later analysis of combined data from the 2002, 2003, and 2006 NHIS [44; 45]. These rates represent a substantial increase over previous decades; according to the 1971–1975 NHANES (NHANES I), the prevalence of osteoarthritis was approximately 12% among adults [46]. In the NHIS, the prevalence of arthritis varied substantially with age, ranging from 7.3% for those 18 to 44 years of age to 49.7% for those 65 years of age and older [50]. However, based on other trend data, the prevalence of osteoarthritis is now likely greater than the 2008 estimate of approximately 27% [1; 4].

Data on hospitalizations indicate an increase in the prevalence of arthritis. The number of hospital discharges with a principal diagnosis of arthritis more than doubled between 1997 and 2009, from 418,600 to 921,000 [5]. By 2011, that number had reached more than 3.1 million, accounting for 47.4% of all arthritis-related hospitalizations [1]. Osteoarthritis moved from the sixth leading principal diagnosis in 1990 to the second leading diagnosis in 2010 [48]. Although the number of physician office visits for arthritis decreased slightly from 1996 to 2012, arthritis remained the second-leading chronic condition diagnosis for visits in 2012, accounting for 13% of all adult (18 years of age and older) visits (Figure 2) [49].

Data show that the prevalence of arthritis (and osteoarthritis specifically) can differ substantially according to age, gender, and race/ethnicity.

**Age**

The prevalence of all types of arthritis increases with age. According to a CDC analysis of data from the 2010–2012 NHIS, the prevalence was 7.3% for individuals 18 to 44 years of age, 30.3% for individuals 45 to 64 years of age, and 49.7% for individuals 65 years of age and older [50].

The prevalence of osteoarthritis, specifically, also increases according to age, with the highest prevalence among those 65 to 84 years of age [5; 51]. (The lower rate of hospitalization for osteoarthritis among individuals 85 years of age and older is more a reflection of lower rates of arthroplasty than of actual frequency of osteoarthritis.) The increases in osteoarthritis over time follow the same age-related pattern. Between 1997 and 2009, the prevalence of osteoarthritis increased 151% among individuals 45 to 64 years of age and 58% among individuals 65 to 84 years of age [5].
The increased prevalence of radiographic and symptomatic osteoarthritis among older individuals is found across all joints. In the Nurses’ Health Study, the risk of hip replacement for women 70 years of age or older was nine times greater than for women younger than 55 years of age [52]. Similarly, in the NHANES III, the prevalence of radiographic knee osteoarthritis increased with age, from a low of 17.7% for the 60 to 64-year age-group to 26.0% for the 80 years and older age-group [47]. The prevalence of hand osteoarthritis also increases significantly with age, and a review of the literature (1950–2009) demonstrated that the prevalence can reach 80% in the older population [53; 54].

Data on the age at the time of diagnosis of osteoarthritis at other joints are limited. However, studies have indicated a younger age at the time of clinical presentation of elbow osteoarthritis (approximately 50 years) and ankle osteoarthritis (43 to 58 years) [29; 55].

**Gender**

The overall prevalence of arthritis (all types) has consistently been higher among women than men [50]. According to a CDC analysis of NHIS data from 2010–2012, the prevalence of arthritis was approximately 26.0% for women compared with 19.1% for men [50]. With respect to osteoarthritis specifically, women accounted for approximately 64% of hospitalizations for osteoarthritis in 2011, a proportion that has been essentially the same.
since 1997 [1; 5]. One exception to this female predominance relates to age; within the population of individuals younger than 50 years of age, osteoarthritis is more common in men, a difference that has been attributed to a higher rate of osteoarthritis secondary to joint injury [56]. Because osteoarthritis is overall more prevalent in women and women use healthcare resources to a greater degree than men, the economic burden of osteoarthritis is disproportionately high among women. The total expenditures related to osteoarthritis among women account for nearly two-thirds of the increased cost, or $118 billion [14].

Studies have also provided information regarding gender differences in the prevalence of osteoarthritis according to the affected joint. These studies have shown that symptomatic knee, hip, and hand osteoarthritis are more prevalent among women than among men, with the greatest difference related to knee osteoarthritis (Table 2) [42; 43; 53; 57]. Again, there is one exception to female predominance: osteoarthritis of the elbow, which has a male-to-female ratio of approximately 4:1 [55]. This gender difference is likely due to the predominance of elbow osteoarthritis among individuals who have an occupation involving strenuous manual labor [55]. Information on gender differences in osteoarthritis at other joint sites is lacking.

### Knee
Osteoarthritis of the knee is estimated to account for 83% of the total number of osteoarthritis cases [3]. Using data from NHANES III, Dillon et al. found that symptomatic radiographic knee osteoarthritis did not differ by gender but that the prevalence of asymptomatic radiographic osteoarthritis was greater among women (42% vs. 31%) [57]. In addition, there were significantly more moderate-to-severe osteoarthritic changes among women (13% vs. 17%) [57]. In the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project, symptoms, radiographic knee osteoarthritis (mild and moderate-to-severe), and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis were all more prevalent among women than men [42]. Data from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 found that the prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in women is nearly twice that of men worldwide [58].

### Hip
In the Johnston County Osteoarthritis study, hip symptoms, mild radiographic osteoarthritis, and symptomatic osteoarthritis were more prevalent among women than men. However, the prevalence of moderate-to-severe radiographic osteoarthritis was similar (2.6% for men vs. 2.5% for women) [43].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Radiographic Osteoarthritis</th>
<th>Symptomatic Osteoarthritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall  Women  Men</td>
<td>Overall  Women  Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>0.9%  1.2%  0.4%</td>
<td>12.1%  13.6%  10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>2.5%  2.5%  2.6%</td>
<td>9.7%  11.1%  8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>7.3%  9.5%  4.8%</td>
<td>8.0%  8.9%  6.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The prevalence of knee and hand osteoarthritis was determined in adults 60 years of age and older, and the prevalence of hip osteoarthritis was determined in adults 55 years of age and older.*

*Radiographic osteoarthritis defined as evidence of moderate-to-severe changes.*

Source: [42; 43; 53; 57] Table 2
Hand
The data on gender differences for osteoarthritis of the hand have been conflicting. Of 1,041 men and women (71 to 100 years of age), the prevalence of symptomatic hand osteoarthritis was twice as high among women in the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study (26% vs. 13%), but NHANES III data showed that the prevalence of symptomatic hand osteoarthritis was similar among men and women [41; 53]. The difference may be due to the older age of individuals in the Framingham study, as the prevalence of hand osteoarthritis increases significantly with age [53]. A review of the literature (1950–2009) supports a gender difference in the prevalence of osteoarthritis of the hand [54].

Race/Ethnicity
Data from 2010–2012 NHIS showed a higher prevalence of arthritis (all types) in the non-Hispanic white population (25.9%) compared with the non-Hispanic black (21.3%), Hispanic (12.1%), and Asian/Pacific Islander populations (11.0%) [59]. In contrast, the prevalence was higher for the American Indian/Alaska Native population (27%) [59].

Knee
Studies have consistently shown that osteoarthritis of the knee is more prevalent in the black population than the white population. Multivariant analysis of data from NHANES III showed significantly higher odds of radiographic knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2 or higher) among non-Hispanic black participants (52%) compared with white (36%) or Mexican-American (38%) participants [57; 60]. Although the findings of the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project also demonstrated that knee-related symptoms, radiographic knee osteoarthritis (mild), and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis were all more prevalent among black individuals than white individuals, the difference was slight. However, the prevalence of moderate-to-severe radiographic osteoarthritis was significantly greater for both men and women in the black population (11% vs. 5% for black vs. white men and 16% vs. 8% for black vs. white women) [42]. A study of more than 1,000 premenopausal and perimenopausal women demonstrated that early osteoarthritis changes were more prevalent in black women than white women (23% vs. 9%) [61]. The prevalence of knee osteoarthritis has also been found to be higher in the Chinese population than in the white population [62].

Hip
In the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project, the greatest racial/ethnic difference was found for mild radiographic hip osteoarthritis among men (23.8% vs. 33.2% for white vs. black men) [43]. There were also racial differences among men and women for symptomatic hip osteoarthritis (7.6% vs. 11.7% for white vs. black men, and 10.8% vs. 12.2% for white vs. black women) [43]. Among women, the prevalence of moderate-to-severe radiographic hip osteoarthritis was higher for the black population (2.3% vs. 3.5%) [43]. A subsequent study indicated that the radiographic features and patterns of hip osteoarthritis differed according to race and gender, which suggests that anatomic and/or development variations in the joint may contribute to differences [63]. Hip osteoarthritis has been found to be less prevalent among Chinese individuals than among white individuals [62].

Hand
In a study of more than 1,000 younger women (premenopausal and perimenopausal), the prevalence of hand osteoarthritis was higher among black women (26%) than among white women (19%), and the specific hand joints affected differed between the two groups [61]. However, NHANES III data indicated that symptomatic hand osteoarthritis occurred less frequently among non-Hispanic black individuals than white individuals [53]. Research has also indicated that hand osteoarthritis is less common in the Chinese population than in the white population [62].
PATHOGENESIS

Historically, osteoarthritis has been considered to be a disease of articular cartilage, but research has indicated that the condition involves the entire joint organ [6; 64; 65]. The loss of articular cartilage has been thought to be the primary change, but a combination of cellular changes and biomechanical stresses causes several secondary changes, including subcondral bone remodeling; the formation of osteophytes; the development of bone marrow lesions; changes in the synovium, joint capsule, ligaments, and periartricular muscles; and meniscal tears and extrusion (Figure 3 and Figure 4) [16; 66; 67; 68; 69]. These changes lead to structural and functional changes in the joint, causing pain, disability, and psychologic distress [65].
Early Development of Osteoarthritis

Normal adult articular cartilage is made up of extracellular matrix (approximately 98% to 99%) and chondrocytes (1% to 2%) [70]. The chondrocytes secrete enzymes and cytokines that help regulate the normal cycle of degradation and repair of articular cartilage by inhibiting the production of proteoglycans and collagen, the two major components of the extracellular matrix [70]. Damage to the extracellular matrix interferes with its ability to bind or exclude water, resulting in edema and subsequent softening of the cartilage and expansion of the matrix, which makes the matrix vulnerable to further injury and breakdown of its components [71; 72; 73].

Among the enzymes stimulated by chondrocytes are matrix metalloproteinases (e.g., collagenase, stromelysin, and gelatinase) and other proteinases (e.g., cathepsin and tissue plasminogen activator). Interleukin-1 (IL-1) is the cytokine that has been identified as playing an important role in promoting the synthesis of degradative enzymes, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha and IL-6 have been found to work synergistically with IL-1. Inhibitors of these enzymes and cytokines, such as tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP) and plasminogen activator inhibitor-7 (PAI-7), help stimulate a repair process by keeping degradation in check. In addition, polypeptides, such as insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta), stimulate chondrocytes to synthesize proteoglycans. When chondrocyte function is lost, the balance between degradation and repair is lost, resulting in damage to the articular cartilage [74].

There are some indications that the early structural changes of osteoarthritis (such as bone marrow lesions and cartilage defects) may be reversible, especially among younger individuals [67; 69]. However, it is difficult to detect early changes, given the high percentage of individuals who are asymptomatic during the early development of osteoarthritis [65]. Still, the potential reversibility sets up early changes as a target for disease-modifying interventions, and research is being directed in this area.

Damage to Other Joint Structures

As damage occurs to the articular cartilage, fragments of cartilage may break off and enter into the joint capsule, where they can damage the synovial lining of the joint and interfere with proper joint function. Continued erosion of cartilage results in narrowing of the joint space, with the potential for bone-to-bone contact. Eburnation, or the formation of a new articulating surface from subchondral bone, may occur. Bone remodeling may also occur in the subchondral bone, which may cause overgrowth of bone at the edges of the joint. These osteophytes usually develop in the nonweight-bearing area of a joint. In osteoarthritis of the distal interphalangeal joints, these osteophytes are dorsolateral swellings referred to as Heberden’s nodes [33].

Evolving Definition

The lack of clarity about the etiology of osteoarthritis is further complicated by the terminology used to refer to the disease. The term “osteoarthritis” implies an inflammatory process, but inflammation is not a hallmark characteristic of the disease; if inflammation is involved, it is usually mild and affects only the synovium and periarticular tissues [74]. Alternative terms that have been suggested include “osteoarthrosis” and “degenerative joint disease,” but neither term is completely satisfactory. The former is vague, and although the latter term is more accurate, it implies a process that naturally occurs with aging, and many differences between the osteoarthritic joint and the aging joint have been identified (Table 3) [6; 67].

The definition and natural history of osteoarthritis continues to evolve as research provides new information. Some researchers have now posited that an inflammatory process is present during the early development of osteoarthritis, with a suggestion that osteoarthritis has a biochemical and inflammatory profile similar to that of metabolic syndrome [69; 75]. Another study providing evidence of a different natural history of osteoarthritis indicated that structural changes precede articular damage.
In that study, the results of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of healthy knees and knees with early osteoarthritis suggested that such changes as subchondral bone expansion, bone marrow lesions, and meniscal tears and extrusion lead to defects in the articular cartilage, which may or may not subsequently result in loss of articular cartilage and radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis [69].

**Etiology of Pain**

The cause of osteoarthritis-related pain is not well understood. Because articular cartilage is aneural and avascular, degradation of cartilage, a primary characteristic of osteoarthritis, is not likely to be the direct source of pain, stiffness, or other typical symptoms [65]. The probable sources of pain, therefore, are other tissues in the joint structure that are richly innervated, such as the subchondral bone, periosteum, periarticular ligaments, periarticular muscle, synovium, and joint capsule [6; 65]. Pain is most likely generated by several factors, and the predominant source of pain has been unclear, as the severity of osteoarthritis on radiographs does not correspond to the degree of pain [65]. However, the improved imaging of the joint provided by MRI has allowed researchers to explore the source of osteoarthritis-related pain, and studies have shown that bone marrow lesions, synovitis/effusion, subarticular bone attrition, osteophytes in the patellofemoral compartment, and meniscal tears are strongly associated with severity of pain in knee osteoarthritis [6; 38; 76; 77; 78]. The evidence has been strongest for bone marrow lesions and synovitis, and the association is greater for pain on weight-bearing (compared with nonweight-bearing) joints [78]. Psychologic and social factors also play an important role in osteoarthritis-related pain [6; 65].

**Osteoarthritis as Distinct Entities According to Joint**

There is substantial heterogeneity in osteoarthritis across anatomic sites with regard to risk factors, clinical features, and outcomes, which has drawn some researchers to conclude that osteoarthritis of different joints are distinct clinical entities [79; 80]. Some examples to support the concept of distinct disease entities include [28; 29; 33; 81]:

- Primary osteoarthritis of the knee is more common than secondary osteoarthritis, but primary osteoarthritis of the ankle is rare, with the disease at that joint occurring more often after trauma (e.g., fracture or ligamentous injury).
- Overweight/obesity has been identified as the most common risk factor with knee osteoarthritis, but mechanical overuse is the primary predisposing factor for hand osteoarthritis.
- Erosion of articular cartilage and narrowing of the joint space are hallmark characteristics of knee and hip osteoarthritis, but articular cartilage is relatively preserved. There is no joint space narrowing in primary osteoarthritis of the elbow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Osteoarthritic Joint</th>
<th>Aging Joint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibrillation in cartilage</td>
<td>Primarily weight-bearing joints</td>
<td>Nonweight-bearing joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartilage mass</td>
<td>Hypertrophy, erosion</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content of cartilage</td>
<td>Edema (early stage)</td>
<td>No change or dehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell activity</td>
<td>Increased activity and proliferation</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovium</td>
<td>Mild focal superficial inflammation</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone changes</td>
<td>Subchondral bone remodeling</td>
<td>Osteopenia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [67] Table 3
Osteoarthritis of more than one joint may be a distinct disease in which a genetic predisposition plays a more important role than biomechanical factors [79].

**RISK FACTORS**

The risk factors for osteoarthritis include several modifiable as well as nonmodifiable factors (Table 4) [27; 29; 33; 34; 35; 54; 82; 83; 84]. Secondary osteoarthritis can also develop as a result of a systemic disease, as noted earlier [65]. Some of the same risk factors for the development of osteoarthritis are also factors that have been noted to increase the risk of disease progression.

As discussed, age, gender, and race/ethnicity influence the development of osteoarthritis at many joint sites. Genetic predisposition is another nonmodifiable risk factor. Among the modifiable risk factors, the greatest contributor to development of the disease is overweight/obesity. Previous trauma/joint injury and specific sporting or occupational activities are other important risk factors. The potential contribution of many other factors is still being explored.

**GENETIC PREDISPOSITION**

Studies have indicated that there may be a genetic factor to the development of osteoarthritis, and the familial risk factor for osteoarthritis of the knee, hip, and hand has ranged from 27% to 60% [32; 54; 79]. It is thought that most genes related to osteoarthritis affect the development of the disease at any joint but that specific genes may also be involved at specific joints [32; 79]. Over the past several years, a candidate gene study and several genome-wide association studies have collectively established 15 loci associated with knee or hip osteoarthritis that have been replicated with genome-wide significance, providing further evidence of joint-specific effects in osteoarthritis [16; 79; 80; 85; 86; 87; 88; 89].

**OVERWEIGHT/OBESITY**

Clinical studies have long demonstrated that the risk of osteoarthritis is higher for individuals who are overweight or obese, and obesity has been referred to as the most important modifiable risk factor for severe osteoarthritis of the knee [90; 91; 92]. In a meta-analysis, those who were obese or overweight were nearly three times as likely to report osteoarthritis of the knee [93]. Overweight as a risk factor is thought to be related to the increased load on weight-bearing joints; however, some studies have indicated an association between obesity and osteoarthritis of the hand and shoulder, which suggests factors other than joint overload [27; 33; 54]. Factors that have been proposed are a metabolic intermediary (such as diabetes or lipid abnormalities) or an increased production of humoral factors (produced by excess adipose tissue), which alters the metabolism of articular cartilage [94].

The data on osteoarthritis and overweight have been more consistent for osteoarthritis of the knee than for disease at other joint sites, and most studies have indicated that overweight/obesity is a greater risk factor for women [35; 79; 82; 90; 94; 95; 96; 97; 98]. In the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study, there was more than a 50% decrease in the risk among women who had a loss of approximately 11 pounds or a decrease in body mass index (BMI) of 2 kg/m² or more [90]. Weight gain was also associated with an increased risk for osteoarthritis, but the difference was not significant [90]. In a population-based case-control study in England (525 men and women [45 years of age and older] with primary knee osteoarthritis and 525 matched controls), the risk of osteoarthritis increased progressively with higher BMI; compared with a BMI of 24.0–24.9 kg/m², the risk was 0.1 for a BMI of less than 20 kg/m² and 13.6 for a BMI of 36 kg/m² or greater [92].
The results of a large, prospective population-based cohort study (28,449 subjects; 17,203 women and 11,246 men) in Sweden indicated that all measures of overweight (BMI, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and percentage body fat) were significantly associated with a higher incidence of osteoarthritis of the knee in both men and women [96]. Across studies, the relative risk of osteoarthritis of the knee and hip has been 2 to 10 times higher for the BMI in the top quartile compared with BMI in the lowest quartile, with the risk typically higher for knee osteoarthritis than hip osteoarthritis and for women compared with men [52; 96; 99; 100; 101]. Among men, the risk for knee and hip osteoarthritis has increased with a higher BMI, even within the normal range [102]. In addition, the risk for osteoarthritis of the hip has been greater for individuals who had a high BMI beginning at a younger age [52; 99].

### RISK FACTORS FOR OSTEOARTHRITIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Joint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonmodifiable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand (women); elbow, cervical spine (men)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genetic predisposition</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Modifiable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight/Obesity</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand, shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous trauma, joint injury</strong></td>
<td>Ankle, glenohumeral joint, knee, hip, hand, wrist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-impact sports</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupational activities</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, elbow (manual labor, construction work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Muscle weakness</strong></td>
<td>Knee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malalignment</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone density (high)</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vitamin C and D deficiency</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estrogen deficiency</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developmental deformities</strong></td>
<td>Hip, glenohumeral joint, ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint laxity</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repeated episodes of gout or septic arthritis, or infection</strong></td>
<td>Knee, hip, glenohumeral joint (infection)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [27; 33; 34; 35; 54; 82; 83; 84]  Table 4

### EXERCISE, RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY, AND SPORTS
There is no evidence that routine, moderate exercise or leisure recreational activity increases the risk of osteoarthritis of the knee or hip [52; 79]. In a systematic review of 72 studies, a high level of physical activity was not a risk factor for osteoarthritis of the knee or hip, provided that the activity did not cause pain in the joint or predispose to trauma [103]. However, the risk for osteoarthritis appears to be associated with increasing intensity and/or duration of the activities, and there is moderate-to-strong evidence of an increased risk of osteoarthritis of the knee and hip with high-intensity, high-impact sports activities, especially when individuals are involved in such activities before the age of 50 years [82; 103; 104]. The risk of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee also has
been found to be greater among individuals who participate at an elite level in sports that involve high joint loads. Overall, the risk associated with high-intensity sports is not as great as that associated with overweight or trauma [103]. With respect to other joints, the risk of osteoarthritis of the elbow has been increased after weight-lifting and throwing activities, the risk of osteoarthritis of the shoulder has been increased in association with overhead sports activities, and the risk of osteoarthritis of the spine has been higher after participation in wrestling, gymnastics, tennis, and weight-lifting [27; 28; 31].

Many researchers have theorized that injury is a stronger risk factor than sports participation itself, especially when participation continues after injury to a joint or cartilage [32; 103]. One systematic review evaluated studies that included injury, sport/physical activity, overweight/obesity, and/or occupational activity as risk factors; outcomes included osteoarthritis of the hip, knee, and/or ankle [105]. Joint injury, obesity, and occupational activity were all associated with an increased risk of osteoarthritis of the knee and hip, with joint injury identified as a significant risk factor for both knee and hip osteoarthritis. Meniscal tears and injury to a cruciate ligament have been shown to be risk factors for osteoarthritis of the knee, chronic rotator cuff tear is a risk factor for osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint, and injury to the ankle ligaments increases the risk for osteoarthritis of the ankle in the long-term (more than 25 years) [27; 31; 105; 106; 107].

**OCCUPATIONAL ACTIVITIES**

The prevalence of osteoarthritis has been shown to be higher among individuals in occupations involving repetitive tasks that place a high load on a joint and cause fatigue in the muscles that protect the joint, although the precise nature of the biomechanical stresses that lead to osteoarthritis are unclear [79; 82; 103; 108]. Occupations that have been associated with high rates of osteoarthritis are manual labor/construction work (knee, hip, elbow, and shoulder), farming (hip), and housekeeping/housecleaning and clothing industry (hand) [27; 28; 33; 54; 57; 79; 108; 109; 110]. Specific occupational actions/activities that have been identified as risk factors for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee include heavy lifting (55 pounds or more), kneeling, squatting, walking more than 2 miles per day, climbing, jumping, and unnatural body positions [103; 108]. Obese workers with such exposures are at additional risk of osteoarthritis of the knee [108]. Some studies have indicated that occupational workload is a more significant factor for osteoarthritis of the knee than for osteoarthritis of the hip, but little research has been conducted among female workers [111; 112]. One nationwide register-based follow-up study that included women found that construction, farming, and healthcare work (compared to office work) increases the risk of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee in both men and women, with farmers having the highest risk of osteoarthritis of the hip and construction and healthcare workers having the highest risk of osteoarthritis of the knee. The risk estimates were generally higher for men, with an exception for construction work, in which the risk estimates of osteoarthritis of the knee were similar or slightly higher for women [112].

One systematic review (25 studies) found moderate evidence for a relationship between kneeling, heavy lifting, and knee osteoarthritis; a limited number of studies indicated that the association was stronger for the combination of kneeling/squatting and heavy lifting than for kneeling/squatting or heavy lifting alone [113]. Two studies examined the interaction of obesity with kneeling/squatting and lifting [114; 115]. In both studies, squatting/kneeling and high BMI carried independent risk of knee osteoarthritis, but their combination raised the risk 5- to 15-fold. In addition, limited data indicated a relationship between climbing stairs or ladders and an increased risk for knee osteoarthritis [113]. Although most studies of occupational risk for osteoarthritis have been conducted with men, some have shown similar results among women [32].
MUSCLE WEAKNESS
Muscle weakness as a risk factor has been primarily studied in the setting of knee osteoarthritis. Weakness of the quadriceps muscle has been found frequently among individuals with knee osteoarthritis, but it was thought to be the result of atrophy that developed as the individual tried to minimize pain in the joint [79; 116]. However, studies have indicated that weakness of this muscle may actually be a risk factor, with the weak muscle unable to appropriately distribute load across the knee joint and maintain joint stability [117; 118]. Such dysfunction may actually precede and expedite cartilage deterioration [119].

In individuals with osteoarthritis of the knee, quadriceps strength is an important determinant of physical function [120]. Reduced strength of the quadriceps muscle as a risk factor has been found to be more common among women, especially in relation to higher body weight, and to be related to symptomatic osteoarthritis and not radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis [117; 118; 121; 122; 123]. Weakness of the hamstring muscle has not been found to increase the risk of osteoarthritis of the knee. However, individuals with osteoarthritis of the knee have well-documented hamstrings strength deficits [118; 121; 124; 125; 126].

OTHER POTENTIAL RISK FACTORS
Several other risk factors have been identified as potential contributors to the development of osteoarthritis. Among these are malalignment, bone density, vitamin C and D deficiency, and estrogen deficiency. Additional research is needed to determine the effect of these factors on the development of disease.

Bone Malalignment
Poor bone alignment resulting from developmental abnormalities or injury changes the load distribution on a joint [79]. The resultant increase in compressive loading in an area of the joint can increase the risk of osteoarthritis [79]. For example, genu varum (bow-leggedness) and genu valgum (“knock-kneed”) have been shown to increase the risk of osteoarthritis at the medial and lateral compartment of the knee, respectively [127; 128]. However, study results have varied.

One evaluation of 110 knees with tibiofemoral osteoarthritis and 356 random control knees demonstrated that knee alignment was not associated with either radiographic tibial osteoarthritis or medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis, and the authors suggested that malalignment was a marker of disease severity rather than a risk factor [129]. An observational, longitudinal study of the Multicenter Osteoarthritis Study cohort found that varus but not valgus alignment increased the risk of incident tibiofemoral osteoarthritis, and that both varus and valgus alignment increased the risk of disease progression in arthritic knees [130]. A third study of malalignment included 881 subjects from the Multicenter Osteoarthritis Study and 1,358 subjects from the Osteoarthritis Initiative study. The researchers found that all strata of malalignment increased the risk of progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis and incidence as well as the risk of lateral cartilage damage [131]. Forefoot varus malalignment has been found to be related to a higher rate of hip osteoarthritis and hindfoot malalignment with a higher rate of ankle osteoarthritis [29; 132].

Bone Density
Bone density is related to osteoarthritis, with a high bone mineral density found in association with an increased prevalence of knee, hip, and hand osteoarthritis [32; 33; 79; 133; 134; 135; 136]. Higher bone mineral density has also been reported in association with osteoarthritis of the spine [137; 138]. The reason for the relationship is not clear, and some inconsistencies and areas of controversy remain [134]. Shared genetic factors and lifetime exposure to estrogen (exogenous and endogenous) have been suggested [32; 134; 139; 140].
Vitamin C and D Deficiency
Deficiency of vitamin C or D has been targeted as a potential contributor to osteoarthritis because of its role in antioxidation or bone metabolism, respectively [79]. The literature on the role of vitamin deficiency in osteoarthritis is limited, but the findings of some early studies have indicated that low levels of vitamin C and D may be associated with early osteoarthritic changes [32; 69]. For example, in the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study, the risk of radiographic osteoarthritis of the knee and knee pain were substantially lower among individuals in the highest tertile of vitamin C intake [141]. A study of the effect of dietary antioxidants, including vitamin C, found a significant positive association between dietary vitamin C intake and radiographic knee osteoarthritis [142]. Ascorbic acid has also been found to provide protection for human chondrocytes against oxidative stress that can lead to osteoarthritis and cartilage aging [143]. Vitamin D deficiency appears to be related to progression of osteoarthritis rather than initial development; this may be because the lack of vitamin D impairs the bone response to osteoarthritic changes [79]. Low levels of vitamin D were not related to the prevalence of osteoarthritis in the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study, but the risk for progression was three times higher for individuals in the lowest tertile of vitamin D level than for individuals in the highest tertile [144]. However, later studies found that vitamin D supplementation does not reduce knee pain or progression of osteoarthritis of the knee, though there may be an association between a low level of vitamin D and an increased risk of both new-onset hip osteoarthritis and its progression [145; 146; 147; 148; 149].

Estrogen Deficiency
There is increasing evidence that estrogens fulfill an important role in maintaining the homeostasis of articular tissues and of the joint itself and that they may also have a protective role against the development of osteoarthritis [150]. The dramatic rise in the prevalence of osteoarthritis among postmenopausal women, which is associated with the presence of estrogen receptors in joint tissues, suggests a link between osteoarthritis and loss of ovarian function [151; 152; 153; 154]. Numerous clinical studies have shown that osteoarthritis is related to estrogen levels, with a greater prevalence in women than men and a clear increase in women at menopause [151; 152; 155; 156; 157]. Additional research will help shed light on the role that estrogen deficiency plays in the mechanisms of menopause-induced osteoarthritis [150].

DIAGNOSIS
The diagnosis of osteoarthritis at most joints is made primarily on the basis of clinical findings, with imaging studies and laboratory tests more useful for ruling out other diagnoses rather than for confirming the diagnosis of osteoarthritis [37; 74; 158]. Although radiographic findings are considered to be diagnostic criteria for osteoarthritis, radiographs are not usually part of the initial diagnostic evaluation for several reasons. The primary reasons are the lack of evidence of early osteoarthritic changes on radiographs and the poor correlation between symptoms and radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis [16; 36; 37; 38]. Thus, the absence of radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis in the presence of joint-related symptoms should not exclude the diagnosis of osteoarthritis.

However, radiographs are often included in the diagnostic evaluation and are essential to the diagnosis of osteoarthritis at some joints, such as the shoulder, elbow, and ankle [27; 29; 55]. Radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis is most commonly graded according to the Kellgren-Lawrence system, which uses a scale of 0 to 4 [60]:

- 0: No radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis
- 1: Possible small osteophytes and joint space narrowing, both of which are of doubtful clinical significance
• 2: Definite osteophytes and normal joint space (or possible narrowing)
• 3: Multiple moderate osteophytes, definite narrowing of the joint space, some sclerosis, possibility of deformity of the bone contour
• 4: Large osteophytes, severe narrowing of the joint space, severe sclerosis, definite deformity of the bone contour

Similarly, no abnormal laboratory findings are associated with osteoarthritis, but again, blood tests can help rule out other diseases or conditions [6; 37]. For example, an erythrocyte sedimentation rate and/or rheumatoid factor titer can help determine a diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis, and a complete blood count can be used to rule out infection [27; 74].

The differential diagnosis of osteoarthritis varies according to the anatomic site as well as such patient-related factors as age, gender, and history (Table 5) [27; 29; 35; 37; 159; 160; 161]. In general, the differential diagnosis includes infection, traumatic injuries, bursitis, other types of arthritis, and overuse syndromes [37]. In addition, clinicians should consider secondary osteoarthritis in patients who have metabolic bone disorders, endocrine diseases, and other systemic conditions, as described earlier [37]. Ancillary testing should be done for patients who have joint pain at night, who have progressive joint pain, or who have a strong family history of inflammatory arthritis [74]. Many features on clinical evaluation and imaging studies are characteristic of osteoarthritis, and some features differ according to joint site (Table 6) [27; 28; 35; 55; 160].

The American College of Rheumatology (ACR) developed classification criteria for knee, hip, and hand osteoarthritis, and these have been widely accepted [160; 163; 164; 165; 166]. More recently, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) has established evidence-based recommendations for diagnosis of the knee and hand [162; 167]. Evidence-based criteria for classification of osteoarthritis at other joints are not available.

Regardless of the affected joint, pain is the most common presenting feature of osteoarthritis. Because many individuals with joint pain do not seek medical care specifically for the pain, clinicians should ask their patients about joint-related symptoms at all routine office visits and other healthcare encounters [168; 169].

HISTORY
When obtaining a history, questions should focus on the nature of joint-related symptoms, patients’ self-reports of limitations in function or activities, and information related to established risk factors for osteoarthritis. The following questions can help elicit important information needed for a diagnosis:

- Do you have any joints that hurt? If so, how long have they been bothering you?
- When does the pain occur? After certain physical activities? At rest?
- Do you have relief of pain if you rest?
- Does the pain bother you at night? Does pain wake you up at night?
- Are your joints stiff when you wake up in the morning? If so, how long does the stiffness last?
- Do the joints that hurt ever lock up or give out on you?
- Do you have a family history of osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis?
- What types of recreational activities or sports do you participate in? If you play sports, do you do so for leisure or competitively?
- What is your occupation? Are there tasks or activities that are part of your job that bother any joints?
- Have you ever had an injury to a joint?
- Are there daily activities or other tasks that you cannot do because of pain or other symptoms in any joint?
When considering patients’ self-reports of pain and function, clinicians should understand that these self-reports can differ according to gender and race/ethnicity [45; 170; 171]. Self-reports of work or activity limitations or severe pain have been significantly more common among black, Hispanic, and mixed-race individuals than among white individuals with osteoarthritis; the rate of self-reports for Asian/Pacific Islander and Alaska Native/American Indian populations have been similar to those for the white population [45]. Among participants in the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project, total scores on the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Project, total scores on the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Potential Diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>Chronic inflammatory arthritis (including rheumatoid arthritis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gout or pseudogout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hip arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chondromalacia patellae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pes anserine bursitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trochanteric bursitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patella tendonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iliotibial band syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meniscal tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior cruciate ligament tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Trochanteric bursitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meralgia paresthetica (lateral femoral cutaneous-nerve entrapment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar radiculopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar spinal stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic inflammatory arthritis (including rheumatoid arthritis and spondyloarthropathies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osteonecrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iliopsoas tendonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hip fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metastatic cancer of the femur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gout or pseudogout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>De Quervain tenosynovitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flexor tenosynovitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ulnar nerve compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis (mainly targeting MCPJs, PIPJs, wrists)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psoriatic arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carpal avascular necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>Rheumatoid or septic arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotator cuff disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical disc disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frozen shoulder (soft tissue injury)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cuff-tear arthropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osteochondral lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rheumatoid or septic arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Gout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rheumatoid or septic arthritis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCPJ = metacarpophalangeal joint; PIPJ = proximal interphalangeal joint.

Source: [27; 29; 35; 37; 159; 160; 161]
### TYPICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF OSTEOARTHRITIS BY JOINT SITE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Clinical Characteristics</th>
<th>Findings on Imaging Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>Pain that usually worsens with weight-bearing exercise or activity</td>
<td>Focal joint space narrowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stiffness in the morning (lasting 30 minutes or less) or after periods of inactivity</td>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted movement</td>
<td>Subchondral bone sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crepitus</td>
<td>Subchondral cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osseous enlargement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Crepitus</td>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain during internal and external rotation with the knee in full extension</td>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gait abnormality (Trendelenburg gait [waddling], abductor lurch gait, abbreviated short step, or lumbar lordotic component [&quot;swayback&quot;] to gait and stance)</td>
<td>Pseudocyst in subchondral bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased density of subchondral bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>Heberden and Bouchard nodes (hard tissue enlargements on the distal interphalangeal joints)</td>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain with use</td>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild stiffness, either in morning or after inactivity</td>
<td>Subchondral bone sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain affecting just one or a few joints at any one time</td>
<td>Subchondral cyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenohumeral Joint</td>
<td>Joint stiffness that worsens with activity and improves with rest</td>
<td>Joint space narrowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crepitus</td>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased range of motion (external rotation and abduction)</td>
<td>Subchondral sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shoulder joint line tenderness</td>
<td>Cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint effusion</td>
<td>Loss of articular cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>Pain, stiffness, weakness</td>
<td>Preservation of articular cartilage and joint space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of terminal elbow extension and impingement-type pain at terminal extension and terminal flexion (early stage)</td>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain when carrying a heavy object at the side of the body with the elbow in extension (later stage)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater degree of motion loss and pain in the mid-arc of motion (later stage)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crepitus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>History of trauma/injury to the joint</td>
<td>Osteonecrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bone loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subchondral cysts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [27; 28; 35; 55; 160; 162]

Index (WOMAC) and scores on the pain and function subscales were significantly worse for black individuals than for white individuals with knee osteoarthritis. The total WOMAC scores were similar for the two racial groups among individuals who had only hip osteoarthritis or hip and knee osteoarthritis [171]. The researchers hypothesized that high BMI and frequent depressive symptoms in the black population may have contributed to the racial/ethnic differences.

Obtaining an accurate history necessitates effective patient-physician communication, which is challenging given the high number of people with inadequate language proficiency and/or health literacy [172; 173]. Clinicians should ensure that patients understand history-related questions and should seek the help of a professional translator if necessary. (A more comprehensive discussion of patient-physician communication and literacy appears later in this course.)
PHYSICAL EXAMINATION
The physical examination should include [16]:

- Assessment of body weight and BMI
- Palpation of joints for pain and/or tenderness
- Evaluation of joints for signs of swelling, enlargement, or deformity
- Determination of crepitus during joint movement
- Range of motion in the joint
- Determination of muscle strength and ligament stability

Additional evaluation may be necessary according to the joint causing symptoms.

Osteoarthritis of the Knee
The primary symptom of osteoarthritis of the knee is pain, especially with weight-bearing exercise or activity, that improves with rest. Stiffness in the joint occurs in the morning, lasting 30 minutes or less, and may occur after periods of inactivity [174].

Individuals with osteoarthritis of the knee usually have tenderness on joint palpation, osseous enlargement, crepitus on motion, and/or limitation of joint motion [174]. Inflammation is not typically present; when present, it is mild and usually localized to the joint [174].

Radiographs of the knee are not routinely needed for a diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. The characteristic findings of osteoarthritis on radiographs include osteophytes and joint space narrowing. Changes in the structure of the knee joint have been found more frequently on MRI than on plain radiographs, and the use of MRI in diagnosis may become more common [69]. MRI may also be helpful in ruling out other causes of knee pain with radiographic findings similar to those of osteoarthritis, such as osteochondritis dissecans and avascular necrosis [16].

The ACR developed the classification criteria for osteoarthritis of the knee with three “trees” designed to enable diagnosis based on only the clinical findings (history and physical examination), a combination of clinical and radiographic findings, or a combination of clinical and laboratory findings (Table 7) [163; 166]. The criteria for clinical and radiographic findings has the best reported sensitivity/specificity (91%/86%), compared with that for clinical and laboratory findings (92%/75%) and clinical findings only (95%/69%) [163].
According to the EULAR guidelines on the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis, a diagnosis can be made with 99% confidence when three symptoms and three signs are present [162]:

- Persistent knee pain
- Limited morning stiffness
- Reduced function
- Crepitus
- Restricted movement
- Osseous enlargement

Osteoarthritis of the Hip

The clinical presentation of hip osteoarthritis is similar to that of knee osteoarthritis, with pain being the most common symptom driving individuals to seek medical care [175]. Pain related to hip osteoarthritis is an ache—most often diffuse—that is usually felt during use of the joint and relieved by rest. Pain is typically gradual, variable, or intermittent; the joint may feel stiff after a period of inactivity [175]. The loss of function or mobility is usually related to the degree of pain.

The strongest sign of hip osteoarthritis on physical examination is pain that is exacerbated by internal or external rotation of the hip with the knee in full extension [35]. Other signs include crepitis and gait abnormalities (resulting from alterations in walking to avoid pain) [175]. Deformity and instability are late signs of severe osteoarthritis, but they are uncommon [175]. Both hips should be examined if osteoarthritis is suspected, as the disease occurs bilaterally in approximately 20% of individuals [35].

The ACR criteria for classification enables diagnosis of osteoarthritis of the hip on the basis of the clinical presentation and either laboratory or radiographic findings. According to this set of criteria, which has a reported sensitivity/specificity of 89%/91%, diagnosis requires patient-reported pain in the hip and at least two of the following three signs [164; 166]:

- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (Westergren) of less than 20 mm/hour
- Radiographic evidence of femoral or acetabular osteophytes
- Radiographic evidence of joint space narrowing (superior, axial, and/or medial)

Osteoarthritis of the Hand

Osteoarthritis of the hand is characterized by pain with use, which affects one or a few joints at any one time, and mild stiffness in the morning and/or after a period of inactivity [167]. The severity of osteoarthritis-related pain varies, and the pain may be intermittent. The joints most often affected are the distal and proximal interphalangeal joints and the base of the thumb [165; 167; 166]. Individuals who have evidence of osteoarthritis at several joints in the hand are at increased risk for generalized osteoarthritis, and clinicians should evaluate such patients as appropriate [167].

Osteoarthritis of the hand may be associated with substantial limitations in function, and the clinician should ask the patient whether he or she has difficulty with such tasks as dressing, eating, writing, handling or fingering small objects, and carrying or lifting 10 pounds [41; 53]. Several validated questionnaires are available to assess function of the hand, and the choice of questionnaire depends primarily on the clinical question [160]. Individuals with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the hand also may have reduced maximal grip strength [41; 53].

The ACR criteria for classification of osteoarthritis of the hand enable diagnosis on the basis of only clinical findings [165; 166]. They consist of pain, aching, or stiffness in the hand and at least three of the following features:

- Hard tissue enlargement of at least 2 of 10 selected joints
- Hard tissue enlargement of at least two distal interphalangeal joints
- Fewer than three swollen metacarpophalangeal joints
- Deformity of at least 1 of 10 selected joints
The 10 selected joints are the second and third distal interphalangeal, the second and third proximal interphalangeal, and the first carpometacarpal joints of both hands. This set of criteria yields a sensitivity/specificity of 94%/87% [165]. The evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis developed by EULAR support the ACR’s criteria of only clinical findings, stating that a confident clinical diagnosis can be made in adults older than 40 years of age on the basis of the described clinical findings [160].

Hard tissue enlargements on the distal interphalangeal joints (Heberden and Bouchard nodes) are the clinical finding that is most characteristic of osteoarthritis of the hand [53; 165; 166]. Although radiographic findings are not an established diagnostic criterion, evidence of osteophytes is the only unique radiographic criterion for a diagnosis [165]. Other classic radiographic findings include joint space narrowing, subchondral bone sclerosis, or subchondral cysts [160; 165]. The diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis does not require blood tests, but such tests may be helpful in excluding coexisting disease or in identifying an inflammatory arthritis [160].

Osteoarthritis of the Shoulder

Pain related to osteoarthritis of the shoulder is typically progressive, related to activity, deep in the joint, and often localized posteriorly [27]. Pain is usually present at rest and interferes with sleep, with nocturnal pain becoming more common as the disease progresses. More advanced disease is also associated with stiffness that limits function. Younger patients with shoulder pain should be asked about previous trauma, dislocation, or surgery for shoulder instability, as all have been related to the development of osteoarthritis [27]. In the early stages of disease, the findings of the physical examination may be unremarkable. Some signs indicative of osteoarthritis are painful crepitus, enlargement of the joint, tenderness at the joint line, and joint effusion. The range of motion is usually decreased, especially in external rotation and abduction. In advanced stages of disease, grinding may be audible or palpable when mechanical stress is placed on the shoulder. Signs that are not indicative of shoulder osteoarthritis are lack of pain on palpation or passive range of motion (e.g., bursitis, rotator cuff disease, or biceps tenderness) and loss of passive or active range of motion (e.g., calcific tendinitis or idiopathic adhesive capsulitis) [176].

Unlike the case with osteoarthritis at other sites, imaging studies are essential for the diagnosis of osteoarthritis of the shoulder [27]. Signs of early disease include slight narrowing of the joint space, small osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, cysts, and eburnation or advanced loss of articular cartilage. Narrowing of the joint space can be best detected with either an axillary view or an anteroposterior view, with the arm held in 45 degrees of abduction [177]. MRI can demonstrate wearing of articular cartilage, and computed tomography arthrograms can be used to localize articular defects [27].

A blood panel can help identify infection. An erythrocyte sedimentation rate greater than 45 mm/hour may indicate rheumatoid arthritis, an underlying malignancy, or chronic infection. These blood tests are sensitive but not specific in determining causes of shoulder pain [178].

Osteoarthritis of the Elbow

Individuals with osteoarthritis of the elbow typically have pain, stiffness, and weakness in the joint [28]. Later stage disease is associated with pain when carrying a heavy object at the side of the body with the elbow in extension. The history is important when evaluating symptoms related to the elbow because of the strong relationship between trauma or occupation with osteoarthritis, especially in individuals who are younger than 40 years of age [55]. Primary osteoarthritis of the elbow is often associated with osteoarthritis at another joint site, especially the second and third metacarpophalangeal joints, the knee, and the hip, and those joints should be evaluated as appropriate [179].
Range of motion should be examined in flexion-extension and pronation-supination. Most patients will have pain at the endpoints of range of motion rather than at other points throughout the arc of motion. Crepitus can usually be heard during range of motion.

As with osteoarthritis of the shoulder, osteoarthritis of the elbow can be diagnosed with standard radiographs, and anteroposterior and lateral projections are best [28; 55]. A distinction of primary elbow osteoarthritis is preservation of the joint space, even when disease is at an advanced stage [28; 55]. Other radiographic characteristics of primary osteoarthritis are an anterior and medial osteophyte (involving the coronoid process) and a posteromedial osteophyte (olecranon process). The location and size of osteophytes can be determined by computed tomography (CT) with three-dimensional reconstructions [55]. It may be difficult to detect loose bodies on plain radiographs [55].

**Osteoarthritis of the Ankle**

A history of ankle fracture or ligamentous injury is a hallmark feature of osteoarthritis of the ankle [29]. Diagnostic evaluation includes radiographs of the ankles made with the patient standing. MRI is also recommended, as it can provide evidence of osteonecrosis as well as indicate the amount of involvement, the extent of bone loss, and the size of subchondral cysts [29].

**TREATMENT OPTIONS**

There is currently no curative therapy for osteoarthritis, and treatments to alter or arrest the disease process are few and mostly ineffective [16]. Thus, management is focused on decreasing pain and increasing function [180; 181]. Several treatment approaches have been used for osteoarthritis and subsequently included in practice guidelines. The range in options has made it difficult for clinicians to determine which ones are most effective; more than 50 treatment modalities have been addressed in 23 guidelines for the management of knee and hip osteoarthritis alone [180]. These guidelines have been established by professional organizations in the United States, such as the ACR, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), and the American Geriatrics Society (AGS); and in Europe, such as EULAR, the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI), and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). The guidelines have addressed osteoarthritis in general, osteoarthritis at specific joints (primarily the knee and hip), and exercise programs (Table 8) [160; 174; 180; 181; 182; 183; 184; 185; 186]. In addition, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) has commissioned research for comparative effectiveness studies and evidence reports related to osteoarthritis [187; 188; 189].

Despite the availability of these guidelines, gaps in evidence-based recommendations exist. There are currently no evidence-based guidelines on the management of osteoarthritis of the elbow, ankle, or spine; there is only one (European) guideline on management of osteoarthritis of the hand. Adding to the challenge of selecting appropriate therapy is evolving evidence on the efficacy of specific options; systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled clinical trials have demonstrated that many commonly used treatment options for osteoarthritis offer no or limited benefit.

As clinicians on the frontline of care, primary care providers and nurses are typically the first to see individuals with symptoms indicative of osteoarthritis. Primary care providers can coordinate the management of osteoarthritis, and a multidisciplinary approach is best. The ACR and the Association of Rheumatology Health Professionals (a division of the ACR) support such an approach, noting that the healthcare team may include a rheumatologist, primary physician, nurse, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, physical therapist, occupational therapist, physician assistant, psychologist, orthopedic surgeon, social worker, registered dietitian, vocational counselor, and others [190]. A primary care physician should consider referral to a rheumatologist in the following situations [16]:
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Table 8 continues on the next page
• Atypical signs and symptoms (e.g., pain at night, prolonged stiffness in the morning, involvement of multiple joints)
• Overall evaluation to address needs for nonpharmacologic treatment
• Lack of response to standard treatment
• Need for operative procedures (arthroscopy and arthroplasty)

The optimal management of osteoarthritis encompasses both nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic measures, beginning with basic modalities and following a so-called pyramid approach as the disease progresses or symptoms do not respond [191]. Several factors should be considered when selecting treatment modalities, including risk factors (e.g., age, comorbidity, overweight/obesity), the level of pain and functional limitations, signs of inflammation, and degree of structural damage [192].

Many treatment options are associated with benefits and risks, and the clinician should discuss the benefits and risks with patients and support their participation in the decision-making process [193; 194]. Patient preferences are an important consideration when choosing treatment options and establishing treatment goals, and the ACR advocates care that addresses treatment goals that are meaningful to the individual patient [190]. Decision aids can help enhance patients’ knowledge of treatment options, improve patients’ participation in their care, and produce realistic expectations of outcomes [194]. Decision aids for osteoarthritis have been developed in a variety of media (e.g., print, online, video) and are available online (http://www.ohri.ca/decisionaid) [194].

The pain and disability associated with osteoarthritis often has a substantial psychologic and social effect. It is important to discuss these aspects with patients and to address psychologic issues, especially depression, in order for treatment measures to be effective [83].

### NONPHARMACOLOGIC APPROACHES

Several nonpharmacologic treatment options have been found to be effective in managing osteoarthritis (Table 9).

#### Education and Self-Management

Education and self-management, through lifestyle modifications are universally recognized as the core of treatment in clinical guidelines [180]. This recommendation is based on research showing that education helps patients become more involved in their care, leading to improved outcomes [193]. The AHRQ notes that an effective partnership is the key to the effective management of osteoarthritis; the healthcare professional’s role in this partnership is to [193]:

• Encourage patients to change their behavior to improve symptoms or slow disease progression
• Promote the proper use of medications
Instruct patients on how to interpret and report symptoms accurately

Support patients’ efforts to maintain normal activities

Help patients adjust to new social and economic circumstances and cope with emotional consequences

Clinicians should emphasize to patients that adhering to the management program will alleviate their symptoms, improve their function, and enhance their quality of life. Education should be tailored to address individual needs. For example, patients who participate in sports should be advised to avoid sports with direct contact and high impact and to wear protective equipment to prevent injury [79]. Similarly, for patients in occupations with high risk for osteoarthritis, clinicians should discuss the importance of avoiding high-risk tasks. It is also essential to encourage patients with osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint or the elbow to modify activities that led to the development of the disease [28; 55]. Periodic contact during follow-up can help promote self-management [180].

Clinicians should also encourage patients to participate in formal self-management programs in the community or online and to use reliable educational resources, such as the Arthritis Foundation (http://www.arthritis.org)[161].


**Strength of Recommendation:** Strong (The benefits clearly outweigh the potential harm and/or the strength of supporting evidence is high.)

When educating a patient about osteoarthritis and its management, it is essential to ensure that he or she understands the treatment plan and his or her role in self-management. However, according to the 2003 National Assessment of Health Literacy, 14% of individuals in the United States have “below basic” health literacy, which means they lack the ability to understand health information and make informed health decisions [172; 195]. According to a systematic review of more than 300 studies, an estimated 26% of patients had inadequate literacy and an additional 20% had marginal literacy [196]. Understanding the problem of health literacy is especially important for clinicians managing osteoarthritis, as low health literacy is more common among older individuals, the population most affected by the disease [197].

---

**EVIDENCE-BASED NONPHARMACOLOGIC OPTIONS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF OSTEOARTHRITIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Joint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient education and self-management</td>
<td>All joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss/maintenance of optimum weight</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular exercise</td>
<td>Knee, hip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical therapy strategies</td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand, elbow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range-of-motion exercises</td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand, elbow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening exercises</td>
<td>Knee, hip, hand, elbow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of heat or therapeutic ultrasound</td>
<td>Knee, hip, ankle, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braces, orthotics, walking aids</td>
<td>Knee, hip, ankle, hand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: [174; 180] Table 9*
Health literacy also varies widely according to race/ethnicity, level of education, and gender, and clinicians are often unaware of the literacy level of their patients [197; 198]. Predictors of limited health literacy are poor self-rated reading ability, low level of education, male gender, and nonwhite race [198; 199]. Ensuring that patients understand health information is essential, as limited health literacy has been associated with poor health outcomes [200].

Several instruments are available to test patients’ literacy level, and they vary in the amount of time needed to administer and reliability in identifying low literacy. A review of several instruments demonstrated that the two most accurate tools for identifying literacy are the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM) and the shortened version of the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (S-TOFHLA) [197]. REALM takes 3 minutes to administer, whereas S-TOFHLA takes 7 to 12 minutes to administer [197]. More rapid testing is available in the form of the Newest Vital Sign (NVS), an instrument named to promote the assessment of health literacy as part of the overall routine patient evaluation [172; 201]. The NVS takes fewer than three minutes to administer, has correlated well with more extensive literacy tests, and has performed moderately well at identifying limited literacy [197; 198]. Two questions have also been found to perform moderately well in identifying patients with inadequate or marginal literacy: “How confident are you in filling out medical forms by yourself?” and “How often do you have someone help you read health information?” [197].

Compounding health literacy are language and cultural barriers, which have the potential for far-reaching effect, given the growing percentages of racial/ethnic populations. According to U.S. Census Bureau data from 2014, 20.9% of the population speak a language other than English, and of those, 8.6% speak English less than “very well” [202]. Clinicians should ask their patients what language they prefer for their medical care information, as some individuals prefer their native language even though they have said they can understand and discuss symptoms in English [203]. Translation services should be provided for patients who do not understand the clinician’s language. “Ad hoc” interpreters (e.g., family members, friends, and bilingual staff members) are often used instead of professional interpreters for a variety of reasons, including convenience and cost. However, clinicians should check with their state’s health officials about the use of ad hoc interpreters, as several states have laws about who can interpret medical information for a patient [204]. Even when allowed by law, the use of a patient’s family member or friend as an interpreter should be avoided, as the patient may not be as forthcoming with information and the family member or friend may not remain objective [204]. Children should especially be avoided as interpreters, as their understanding of medical language is limited and they may filter information to protect their parents or other adult family members [204]. Individuals with limited English language skills have actually indicated a preference for professional interpreters rather than family members [205].

Most important, perhaps, is the fact that clinical consequences are more likely with ad hoc interpreters than with professional interpreters [206]. A systematic review of the literature showed that the use of professional interpreters facilitates a broader understanding and leads to better clinical care than the use of ad hoc interpreters, and many studies have demonstrated that the lack of an interpreter for patients with limited English proficiency compromises the quality of care and that the use of professional interpreters improves communication (errors and comprehension), utilization, clinical outcomes, and patient satisfaction with care [207; 208].

Clinicians should adapt their discussions and educational resources to a patient’s identified health literacy level and degree of language proficiency. The use of plain language (free of medical jargon), asking patients to repeat pertinent information, regularly assessing recall and comprehension, and using translated educational materials can all help ensure that patients better understand their disease and its management, ultimately leading to higher quality care.
Weight Reduction

Given the strong correlation between overweight/obesity (defined as a BMI greater than 25 kg/m²) and osteoarthritis of the knee and hip, weight reduction and maintenance of a healthy weight are central to guidelines on the management of osteoarthritis at these sites [174; 192; 209; 210; 211]. A systematic review showed that a moderate weight-loss program (0.25% of body weight per week) can reduce pain and physical disability for individuals with osteoarthritis of the knee [212]. In its 2013 guideline for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee, the AAOS recommends weight reduction, specifically, achieving and/or maintaining a BMI ≤ 25 kg/m² [211].

The recommended approach to weight loss is through dietary modifications and an exercise program [210]. The Arthritis, Diet, and Activity Promotion Trial (ADAPT), which involved 316 overweight or obese adults with knee osteoarthritis, demonstrated that an 18-month program of modest weight loss and modest exercise provided the most benefit (compared with a diet-only or exercise-only program) [213]. Individuals in the diet-plus-exercise group had significant improvements in self-reported physical function, six-minute walk distance, stair-climb time, and knee pain. The 2012 ACR guideline specifies weight reduction counseling [174].

Exercise and Physical Therapy

Regular, moderate exercise can help maintain overall health, muscle strength, and range of motion of the joint. In addition, several physical therapy strategies can help improve function and relieve pain.

Regular Exercise

Some patients may fear that regular exercise will exacerbate pain, but a review of the literature has shown that moderate exercise does not increase the risk for progression of osteoarthritis, provided that care is taken to avoid injury [103; 214]. The goal of an exercise program is to control pain, increase flexibility, and improve muscle strength and endurance [215]. The exercise program should be individualized to the patient, with consideration given to the patient’s age, comorbidities, and mobility [216]. Guidelines suggest that exercise should be prescribed for all patients with osteoarthritis, regardless of age, severity of pain and disability, and comorbidity [186]. The AGS notes that absolute contraindications to an exercise program include uncontrolled arrhythmias, third-degree heart block, changes on recent electrocardiography, unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction, and acute congestive heart failure [215]. Relative contraindications include cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease, poorly controlled blood pressure, and uncontrolled metabolic disease [215].

Promoting exercise as part of an overall positive lifestyle change can increase the effectiveness of the program [216]. Supervised group exercise and home-based programs have been shown to be equally effective, allowing patients to select the type of program they prefer [216]. The Ottawa Panel recommends that significant weight-loss occur before starting weight-bearing exercise (particularly for obese patients) in order to maintain joint integrity and to avoid joint disease and dysfunction [210].

Low-impact aerobic exercise, such as walking, bicycling, swimming, or water aerobics, has shown to offer substantial benefit in terms of improved physical function, reduction of pain and disability, and enhanced perceived quality of life in individuals with knee osteoarthritis, especially overweight/obese individuals [211; 214; 217; 218]. Although there is little evidence that exercise is of benefit to individuals with hip osteoarthritis, one systematic review found that land-based (as opposed to water-based) therapeutic exercise programs can reduce pain and improve physical function [216; 218]. Adherence is the primary predictor of long-term outcome from exercise for the management of knee or hip osteoarthritis; because of this, clinicians should encourage patients to engage in exercises they enjoy, as this can enhance the likelihood of long-term adherence. Long-term monitoring, frequent contact during follow-up, and/or involving family members in the program may also enhance adherence [180; 216; 219].
In 2000, the ACR guidelines for the management of knee and hip osteoarthritis included a recommendation for aerobic exercise [66]. A year later, the AGS published evidence-based recommendations for exercise as part of managing osteoarthritis in older individuals. (These recommendations were not joint-specific.) In 2005, a European multidisciplinary expert panel developed evidence-based recommendations for exercise to manage osteoarthritis of the knee or hip [216]. The AAOS incorporated these previous recommendations into its 2008 guideline for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis, noting that patients should be encouraged to participate in low-impact aerobic fitness exercises (level of evidence: I, A) and quadriceps strengthening (level of evidence: II, B) [219]. Subsequent reviews of the literature have supported that exercise reduces pain and improves physical function [214; 220]. The 2013 AAOS guideline on the treatment of knee osteoarthritis strongly recommends the implementation of a variety of physical therapy and exercise modalities, including low-impact aerobic exercise, strength training, self-management programs, and neuromuscular education [211]. Exercise recommendations should be consistent with national guidelines. The 2012 ACR Technical Expert Panel recommends land- or water-based aerobic exercise for all patients (depending on patient preference) except those who are extremely overweight or aerobically deconditioned; for these patients, water-based exercise is recommended until conditioning (i.e., improved aerobic capacity) is achieved [174].

**Physical Therapy Strategies**

Substantial improvement in symptoms related to osteoarthritis of the knee has been achieved through several physical therapy strategies, including range-of-motion (flexibility) exercises, muscle stretching, and soft tissue mobilization [161]. A combination of physical therapy (to the knee as well as to the lumbar spine, hip, and ankle, as required) and a standardized exercise program provided more benefit than placebo (subtherapeutic ultrasound to the knee) in a small randomized study (83 patients) of the management of knee osteoarthritis [221]. Patients treated with the combination therapy had clinically and statistically significant improvements in WOMAC score and six-minute walk distance, whereas no improvements were found in the placebo group. The benefits were sustained at one year, and fewer patients in the treatment group had undergone knee arthroplasty (5% vs. 20%) at that time. Another small randomized study compared home-based physical therapy with clinically-based physical therapy [222]. The 134 participants with knee osteoarthritis were randomly assigned to a clinic treatment group or a home exercise group. The clinic treatment group received supervised exercise and individualized manual therapy; they also completed a four-week home exercise program. The home exercise group completed the four-week home exercise program, with reinforcement at a clinic visit two weeks later. Both groups showed clinically and statistically significant improvements in six-minute walk distances and WOMAC scores at four weeks and eight weeks. By four weeks, WOMAC scores had improved by 52% in the clinic treatment group and by 26% in the home exercise group. Average six-minute walk distances had improved about 10% in both groups. At one year, both groups were substantially and about equally improved over baseline measurements. Subjects in the clinic treatment group were less likely to be taking medications for their arthritis and were more satisfied with the overall outcome of their rehabilitative treatment compared with subjects in the home exercise group [222].

The AGS guidelines recommend flexibility exercises, strengthening exercises, and endurance exercises, along with heat modalities, for older patients with all types of osteoarthritis. Range-of-motion (flexibility) exercises can help decrease stiffness, increase joint mobility, and prevent soft-tissue contractures [215]. Static stretching can improve range of motion. Exercises that combine flexibility and resistance training (e.g., yoga, tai chi) have significant therapeutic benefit for knee osteoarthritis [174; 211]. The goal of strengthening exercises is to increase the strength of the muscles that support the affected joint [215]. Exercises to
strengthen the quadriceps muscles have led to improvements in pain and function for individuals with knee osteoarthritis [161]. In addition, studies suggest that strengthening the quadriceps muscles may help delay progression of knee and hip osteoarthritis [216]. In general, patients should begin a strengthening exercise regimen with isometric exercises and advance to isotonic resistance exercises as tolerated [215]. Isometric, isotonic, and isokinetic training have similar long-term benefits [211].

The findings of a systematic review suggest that therapeutic ultrasound may help reduce pain and increase function for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee [223]. However, the quality of the evidence is low, which left the authors uncertain about the magnitude of the effects of the treatment modality. The AAOS guideline on the treatment of knee osteoarthritis refrains from making a recommendation for or against therapeutic ultrasound; however, the authors of the guideline reviewed several studies showing evidence of its benefit, particularly when combined with various forms of exercise [211].

With regard to other joints, EULAR guidelines recommend an exercise regimen that involves range-of-motion and strengthening exercises for all individuals with osteoarthritis of the hand [167]. The guidelines also recommend local application of heat, especially before exercise; heat can be applied with a hot pack or paraffin wax [167]. Thermal agents/modalities in combination with exercise are also endorsed by the ACR [174].

The AAOS found inconclusive evidence for physical therapy as an effective treatment option for osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint and is unable to recommend for or against physical therapy as part of initial treatment of the condition [184]. Similarly, a supervised physical therapy program is not routinely a treatment approach for osteoarthritis of the ankle [29]. Physical therapy should begin in the early stages of osteoarthritis of the elbow (mild pain and loss of less than 15 degrees of motion) [28]. Strategies may include gentle range-of-motion exercises to maintain mobility and strength [28].

Braces, Orthotics, Walking Aids, and Footwear

Braces

Although valgus or varus bracing can theoretically relieve pain and improve function by shifting joint load away from the medial or lateral compartment of the knee, respectively, the AAOS found inconclusive evidence of the efficacy of these types of braces in terms of relieving pain or improving function or quality of life [211]. As a result, the AAOS is unable to recommend for or against the use of a brace with a valgus directing force for patients with medial unicompartmental osteoarthritis of the knee or a brace with a varus directing force for patients with lateral unicompartmental osteoarthritis of the knee [211].

With regard to other joints, a thumb splint may be helpful for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb base, and a brace has been suggested as part of conservative management of osteoarthritis of the ankle. However, these recommendations are based on expert opinion only [29; 167].

Orthotics

It has been proposed that lateral and medial wedges may help relieve the symptoms of medial and lateral compartment osteoarthritis of the knee, respectively, by reducing joint load. However, studies have not provided evidence that wedges alone improve osteoarthritis-related symptoms [161; 224]. The ACR guidelines suggest that patients may benefit from the use of wedged insoles to correct abnormal biomechanics related to varus deformity of the knee, but the AAOS recommends that lateral heel wedges not be prescribed for patients with symptomatic medial compartmental osteoarthritis of the knee [174; 211].
Walking Aids
The ACR recommends use of a cane on the contralateral side to help decrease pain and improve function for patients who have persistent pain related to knee or hip osteoarthritis [161; 174].

Footwear
Clinicians should also advise patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis about appropriate footwear; the optimum shoe may be one that is flat or has a low heel and that is flexible (rather than stabilizing) [224]. Foot orthoses to correct varus malalignment of the forefoot may help reduce pain in the hip among individuals with osteoarthritis of that joint [132]. Modifications to footwear may be helpful for people with osteoarthritis of the ankle [29].

Other
The ACR recommends patellar taping for the short-term relief of pain and improvement of function among individuals with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [174]. Although the AAOS has previously endorsed taping, the 2013 update does not address this approach [211; 219].

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation
Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) has been used as part of management of knee osteoarthritis, but the data on its effectiveness are conflicting. One review of the literature (systematic reviews published between 2000 and 2007) demonstrated evidence of moderate quality that TENS reduces pain [220]. The authors of a subsequent review (up to 2008) reported that they could not confirm the benefit of TENS for the relief of pain, noting that the review was inconclusive because of the inclusion of small trials of questionable quality [225]. The ACR recommends the use of electrical stimulation only for patients with severe pain who are candidates for total knee arthroplasty but who are unwilling or unable to undergo the procedure (i.e., contraindication due to comorbidities/medication) [174]. The AAOS is unable to recommend for or against any form of electrotherapy [211].

Acupuncture
The available literature demonstrates that acupuncture provides minimal, short-term relief of pain related to knee osteoarthritis [220; 226; 227]. Acupuncture was considered to be a therapy “under investigation” at the time of publication of the 2000 ACR guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis of the knee and hip [66]. The 2012 ACR expert panel recommends the use of acupuncture only for patients with severe pain who are candidates for total knee arthroplasty but who are unwilling or unable to undergo the procedure [174]. The AAOS is unable to recommend for or against the use of acupuncture as an adjunctive therapy for pain relief in patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee; however, it was noted that some studies showed clinical efficacy and that there was little or no potential for harm [211]. No recommendations have been made regarding the use of acupuncture as part of the treatment of osteoarthritis at other joint sites.

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons is unable to recommend the use of acupuncture as an adjunctive therapy for pain relief in patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee.


Strength of Recommendation: Strong (The benefits clearly outweigh the potential harm and/or the strength of supporting evidence is high.)

PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPIES
No drugs have been found to effectively alter the disease process or the structural properties of the joint; therefore, the goal of pharmacologic therapies is to relieve pain. Oral analgesics form the basis of pharmacologic management, and other effective pharmacologic options, depending on the joint, include topical analgesics, viscosupplementation, and intra-articular corticosteroids (Table 10).
Educating patients about their pharmacologic treatment plan is crucial. A questionnaire designed to assess patients’ knowledge of osteoarthritis and its management demonstrated a substantial lack of knowledge about analgesics [228]. Fewer than one-third of the patients knew that they could take analgesics prophylactically, and 70% did not know that analgesics should be taken when pain starts to build. In addition, approximately one-third did not know that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) should be taken with food or following a meal [228]. Another small study showed that patients with multiple coexisting conditions are dissatisfied with the complex medication regimen required for comorbidities [229]. Patients in this study were unclear on how to take analgesics on an “as needed” basis, pointing to the need for clearer guidance from clinicians and other healthcare professionals [229].

Oral Analgesics
Because of the wide range of pain relievers available, the challenge is to select an agent that will provide optimum relief with minimum adverse events. The oral pain relievers used for osteoarthritis include acetaminophen, nonselective NSAIDs, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) selective NSAIDs, opioids, and tramadol. The 2013 AAOS guideline specifies NSAIDs (oral or topical) and tramadol as first-line pharmacologic treatments for symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [211]. The 2012 ACR guideline recommends NSAIDs (including COX-2-selective agents) and tramadol as first-line pharmacologic treatments for symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [211]. The 2012 ACR guideline recommends NSAIDs (including COX-2-selective agents) and tramadol as first-line pharmacologic treatments for symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [211].

### EVIDENCE-BASED PHARMACOLOGIC OPTIONS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF OSTEOARTHRITIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacologic Approach</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral analgesics</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence to recommend for osteoarthritis of the shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>Up to 4 g/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonselective NSAIDs</td>
<td>A gastroprotective agent (proton-pump inhibitor) should be prescribed for patients at high risk for gastrointestinal complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2 selective NSAIDs</td>
<td>Some agents associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>Considered separately from opioid analgesics due to modulatory effect on serotonin and norepinephrine levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid analgesics</td>
<td>No recommendation for or against use for osteoarthritis of the hip, knee, or shoulder. Should not be used for osteoarthritis of the hand. Weak opioids may be used for pain refractory to other pharmacologic agents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical analgesics</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence to recommend for osteoarthritis of the shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-articular corticosteroids</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence to recommend for osteoarthritis of the shoulder Provide short-term relief (up to four weeks) for all joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosupplementation</td>
<td>Conditionally recommended for certain patients Recommended only for osteoarthritis of the knee or shoulder Schedule of weekly injections has varied from three to five consecutive weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No evidence-based guidelines are available for osteoarthritis of the elbow or ankle.*

Source: [174; 180; 184; 211; 219]  
Table 10
Some guidelines recommended acetaminophen as the initial analgesic for the management of mild-to-moderate pain related to osteoarthritis, but this recommendation has since been shown to be questionable [180]. A comparative effectiveness study conducted by the AHRQ found good evidence that acetaminophen is modestly inferior in efficacy compared with NSAIDs but has a lower risk of gastrointestinal complications [230]. An update to this study found that no currently available analgesic offered a clear overall advantage compared with the others [187]. Its original findings on acetaminophen remained the same, with the addition that acetaminophen poses a higher risk of liver injury [187]. Other research has shown that NSAIDs are more effective than acetaminophen for relieving osteoarthritis-related pain, especially moderate-to-severe pain [231]. The AAOS working group noted that many physicians prefer to prescribe acetaminophen rather than NSAIDs (because of the side effect profile of NSAIDs), but that this practice is “unreasonable” because acetaminophen does not show a benefit over placebo [211]. For this same reason, the AAOS recommendation was downgraded from level B (moderate) in the 2008 guideline to inconclusive in the 2013 edition. NSAIDs should be prescribed at the lowest effective dose, and their long-term use should be avoided [180]. A COX-2 selective agent or an NSAID with a prescription for a gastroprotective agent (such as a proton-pump inhibitor) may be used for patients who have an increased risk for gastrointestinal complications [180].

There is good evidence that nonselective NSAIDs and COX-2-selective NSAIDs have comparable efficacy and that COX-2-selective agents are comparable to each other [187; 232]. Although COX-2-selective agents have better tolerability in general compared with NSAIDs, there is considerable variability across individual drugs in terms of protection against serious gastrointestinal events [232]. In addition, some COX-2 selective NSAIDs have been associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction, and these drugs should be used with caution in patients with cardiovascular risk factors [187; 232].

Studies have found that opioids were more effective overall than control interventions with respect to pain relief and improved function, but the beneficial effects were small to moderate and were outweighed by a substantial increase in the risk of adverse events [233; 234]. The authors of the review concluded that opioids should not be used routinely for individuals with osteoarthritis, even for severe pain. Some guidelines suggest the use of weak narcotics or opioids for pain that has been refractory to other pharmacologic agents; however, the guidelines note that strong opioids should be used sparingly [180]. The 2013 AAOS guideline on the treatment of knee osteoarthritis does not make a recommendation for or against the use of opioids, and the ACR guidelines similarly make no recommendations, aside from advising against their use for osteoarthritis of the hand (with the exception of certain patients) [174; 211].

In reviewing the literature for its guidelines on the treatment of osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint, the AAOS was not able to find sufficient evidence to support several pharmacologic treatments, including acetaminophen, NSAIDs, opioids, or narcotics. As a result, the AAOS states it is unable to recommend for or against the use of any of these options for the initial treatment of patients with osteoarthritis of this joint [184].

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons is unable to recommend for or against the use of acetaminophen, opioids, or pain patches for patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. (http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=46422. Last accessed September 2, 2016.)

**Strength of Recommendation:** Inconclusive (There is a lack of compelling evidence resulting in an unclear balance between benefits and potential harm.)
Tramadol should be considered as an option if patients do not respond satisfactorily to NSAIDs [235]. A Cochrane review has shown that tramadol reduces pain intensity and improves function in patients with osteoarthritis [236]. The efficacy of tramadol was found to be similar to the NSAID diclofenac but superior to acetaminophen. The drug has a favorable tolerability profile and has a potential for abuse similar to NSAIDs and significantly less than hydrocodone [236; 237]. Extended-release formulations are recommended for osteoarthritis pain [238].

Topical Analgesics
There is good evidence that topical NSAIDs have efficacy comparable to oral NSAIDs, although most trials have involved knee osteoarthritis only, and head-to-head trials have not been large enough to evaluate the comparative risk of serious cardiovascular events and gastrointestinal effects [187]. There is also good evidence that topical NSAIDs are safer than oral NSAIDs, but a systematic literature review showed that systemic adverse events have occurred in a substantial proportion of older adults treated with topical NSAIDs [239]. Capsaicin has also been effective in relieving osteoarthritis-related pain, and some guidelines have suggested the use of this topical agent as an alternative treatment or an adjunct to treatment with oral analgesics [180]. The ACR guideline recommends the use of topical capsaicin for hand osteoarthritis and topical NSAIDs for hand and knee osteoarthritis [174]. The AHRQ comparative review found that topical capsaicin was superior to placebo but associated with increased local adverse events and withdrawals due to adverse events [187].

As is the case for oral analgesics, the AAOS was not able to find sufficient evidence to support the use of topical analgesics for the treatment of glenohumeral joint osteoarthritis and is unable to recommend for or against the use of these agents for the initial treatment of patients with osteoarthritis of that joint [184].

Intra-Articular Corticosteroids
Most of the evidence regarding the efficacy of intra-articular injection of long-acting corticosteroids comes from the literature on osteoarthritis of the knee, and many experts have called for more research on this treatment approach at the hip and other joints [32; 209]. In general, this treatment option is used for moderate-to-severe pain in a joint that has not responded to nonpharmacologic measures or to oral analgesics. Pain relief is thought to be related to the anti-inflammatory effects of the corticosteroid [240; 241].

Certain guidelines conditionally recommend intra-articular injection of corticosteroids into the knee or hip, especially after aspiration of fluid in patients who have signs of local inflammation with joint effusion [6; 174; 192]. For example, the ACR recommends this therapy for knee and hip osteoarthritis if the patient does not have a satisfactory response to acetaminophen and topical NSAIDs and if there is a contraindication to oral NSAIDs. The AAOS was unable to make a recommendation for or against corticosteroid injection based on a lack of compelling evidence [211]. Although the approach is otherwise widely recommended, it is acknowledged that intra-articular corticosteroids provide short-term relief only [32; 242; 243]. A meta-analysis of 28 trials (1,973 patients) of knee osteoarthritis showed a benefit of pain relief for two to four weeks, with no benefit in terms of functional improvement and no benefit in either pain or function beyond four weeks [242]. An update to the meta-analysis, which included 27 trials (1,767 patients), found that the overall quality of the evidence did not clearly support a benefit of intra-articular corticosteroid use after one to six weeks [243]. Despite the short-term benefit found in most
studies, clinical experience has shown longer relief in many patients [32]. Because of the potential side effects of intra-articular injections, which include long-term damage to joint cartilage, flare after injection, and infection, most physicians do not recommend more than three to four injections per joint per year [6; 32]. Intra-articular injection is more technically difficult in the hip joint than in the knee, and radiographic or ultrasonographic guidance has been suggested, although there are no comparative data to provide evidence that accuracy is increased with such guidance [6; 209]. Recommendations for the use of intra-articular corticosteroids at other joints are based primarily on expert opinion, as randomized controlled trials are lacking or have included small numbers of patients. EULAR guidelines for osteoarthritis of the hand note that intra-articular corticosteroids are effective for painful flares of osteoarthritis, especially of the trapeziometacarpal joint [167]. As with data on the hip and knee, intra-articular injections have provided benefit for up to four weeks [6; 167].

Although intra-articular corticosteroids are often used in clinical practice to treat shoulder pain of all etiologies, the AAOS concluded that there was insufficient evidence to support the use of this approach for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint [184]. Intra-articular corticosteroids are also options for refractory pain in individuals with osteoarthritis of the elbow or ankle, although data are lacking to support the approach [28; 29; 55].

Intra-Articular Hyaluronan (Viscosupplementation)

Endogenous hyaluronan (also known as hyaluronic acid) is a primary component of the extracellular matrix of synovial membrane and tissue and articular cartilage, as well as the synovial fluid [244]. It provides viscoelasticity and lubrication to the joint and helps to maintain tissue hydration. The use of exogenous hyaluronan to treat osteoarthritis—known as viscosupplementation—began in the 1960s; several formulations of viscosupplements are now available, each produced by different manufacturers with different molecular weights. Data on comparison of high-molecular-weight and low-molecular-weight hyaluronic acid have been conflicting, with some studies indicating that high-molecular-weight hyaluronic acid is more effective, whereas other analyses have shown that the efficacy is similar [245; 246]. Research reviewed by the AAOS panel suggests that high-molecular-weight hyaluronic acid is more effective than low-molecular-weight [211]. How hyaluronan and similar products alleviate osteoarthritis-related symptoms is not entirely clear, but its action is thought to be related to its anti-inflammatory, anabolic, and chondroprotective properties [66; 247].

It is difficult to determine the efficacy of hyaluronan because research evidence is confounded by different molecular weights of hyaluronan preparations, different dosing schedules, and poor trial design, and the level of evidence across studies has been low [209; 244; 245; 248]. Most of the evidence available is related to osteoarthritis of the knee, with limited data available on use of the treatment for osteoarthritis of the hip, hand, or shoulder. Since the publication of the 2000 ACR guidelines, certain studies and analyses have supported the efficacy of hyaluronan/hylan derivatives for relieving pain and improving function in patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee (compared with placebo), with the greatest benefit found in conjunction with less severe pain and disability at 5 to 13 weeks after injection [192; 245; 249; 250]. However, researchers have noted that the effect size is small compared with placebo and that the effect may be overestimated as a result of publication bias [244; 245]. When compared with NSAIDs, hyaluronan takes longer to relieve knee symptoms; additionally, the dosing schedule necessitates more office visits than intra-articular corticosteroids, creating inconvenience and increasing costs [192; 209]. Uncontrolled and small studies of hyaluronic acid for hip osteoarthritis have shown pain reduction after treatment, but intra-articular corticosteroids were more effective in one small study [209; 248; 251].
In its 2012 recommendations, the ACR conditionally recommends against using intra-articular therapies for hand osteoarthritis [174]. This recommendation is based largely on the absence of evidence from randomized controlled trials to support the benefits as well as the potential for harm from such therapy [174].

In its 2013 guideline on the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee, the AAOS notes that it cannot recommend the use of intra-articular hyaluronic acid for individuals with symptomatic disease [211]. The rationale for this recommendation is based on a lack of efficacy, not potential harm. Treatment with hyaluronan has been reported to be well tolerated, with a low incidence of adverse events [248; 249; 252]. Among the potential adverse events are transient pain (mild to moderate) at the injection site and increases in joint pain and/or swelling [66]. The NICE guidelines, revised in 2014, also note that intra-articular injections of hyaluronan cannot be recommended for the treatment of osteoarthritis [186; 244].

Evidence of benefit of hyaluronan for osteoarthritis of other joints is limited. A small study (56 patients) showed that a single course of three injections of intra-articular sodium hyaluronate relieved pain and improved joint function in patients with osteoarthritis of the carpometacarpal joint of the thumb. Although the effects were achieved more slowly than treatment with triamcinolone, the duration of benefit was longer (up to six months) [253]. In another small study (16 men), intra-articular sodium hyaluronate (administered once weekly for five weeks) improved scores for pain (primarily at rest) related to osteoarthritis of the trapeziometacarpal joint [167].

The AAOS recommends viscosupplementation as an option for patients with glenohumeral joint osteoarthritis but notes that the level of evidence for the recommendation is weak [184]. A case series of 18 patients with post-traumatic osteoarthritis of the elbow demonstrated short-term pain relief and very limited improvement in function, and the authors concluded that viscosupplementation was not suitable for the condition [254]. Descriptions of suggested treatment options for osteoarthritis of the ankle have not included hyaluronan, although a review of seven studies (275 patients) published between 2006 and 2008 suggested that viscosupplementation may be of benefit for osteoarthritis at that joint [255].

ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES

Glucosamine and/or Chondroitin Sulfate

In the United States, glucosamine and chondroitin are heavily marketed as dietary supplements that promote “joint health” and relieve the symptoms of osteoarthritis of the knee and hip. Glucosamine and chondroitin are both made in the body; glucosamine is an amino sugar that is thought to enhance the formation and repair of cartilage, and chondroitin is a carbohydrate found in cartilage that is thought to promote water retention and elasticity and to inhibit the enzymes that degrade cartilage. More than 20 products contain glucosamine alone, chondroitin alone, or a combination of the two, and contamination or mislabeling has been found for some products [256].

Data on the efficacy of glucosamine and chondroitin are available primarily for osteoarthritis of the knee, with limited data on its effectiveness for osteoarthritis of the hip; no studies have been done to evaluate the use of these supplements for osteoarthritis at other joint sites. Several early systematic reviews failed to show a benefit of glucosamine and/or chondroitin in terms of pain, stiffness, and function when compared with placebo [209; 257].
These findings were supported by the results of the Glucosamine/Chondroitin Arthritis Intervention Trial (GAIT), a randomized study involving 1,583 patients with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis that has provided the best evidence to date on these supplements [188; 258]. The results demonstrated that glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate, alone or in combination, did not reduce pain more effectively than placebo [258]. A multicenter study done as part of GAIT showed that the combination of glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate did not alter progression of knee osteoarthritis, with no clinically important difference in the loss of joint space width compared with placebo [259]. A report on the two-year results from GAIT noted that there were no significant differences in pain among groups treated with glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, a combination of the two supplements, or a placebo [260].

A systematic review evaluated the benefit and harm of chondroitin compared with placebo or a comparable oral medication (e.g., NSAIDs, analgesics, opioids, glucosamine) [261]. The review included 43 randomized controlled trials, including 4,962 participants treated with chondroitin and 4,148 participants given placebo or another control. The majority of trials were in osteoarthritis of the knee, with few in the hip or hand, and the length of the trials varied from one month to three years. In studies of less than six months in length, participants treated with chondroitin achieved significantly better pain scores than those given placebo (absolute risk difference: 10% lower); the risk difference for pain was 9% lower in studies longer than six months. A 20% reduction in knee pain was achieved by 53 of 100 participants in the chondroitin group versus 47 of 100 in the placebo group. Differences in the composite of pain, function, and disability favored chondroitin compared with placebo in studies of less than six months. Chondroitin was associated with significantly lower odds of serious adverse events compared with placebo. Chondroitin alone or in combination with glucosamine or another supplement is associated with a significant reduction in pain compared with placebo or an active control; no significant differences in the numbers of adverse events were reported. As stated, the authors found that most of the randomized trials included were of low quality; overall, the benefit of chondroitin was small to moderate [261]. Other analyses and results of randomized controlled trials have indicated that glucosamine and/or chondroitin sulfate have no or modest benefit in terms of pain, function, or structural alterations [262; 263; 264].

In its guidelines on the management of osteoarthritis of the hand, knee, and hip, the ACR deems the evidence on glucosamine and chondroitin to be inconclusive and conditionally recommends against their use [174]. In the 2013 AAOS guideline on the management of knee osteoarthritis, the recommendation is to not prescribe glucosamine and/or chondroitin sulfate or hydrochloride for patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [211]. With regard to osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint, the AAOS is not able to recommend for or against the use of glucosamine and/or chondroitin [184].

**Other Products**

In a systematic review undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of 22 herbal medicinal products, there was some evidence of pain relief with topical capsaicin, avocado-soybean unsaponifiables, and SKI306X (a Chinese herbal mixture). However, none of the 22 products had proof of effectiveness beyond doubt [265]. According to a review of studies involving antioxidant and anti-inflammatory supplements, the following cannot be recommended for the treatment of osteoarthritis: vitamin E (alone); a combination of vitamins A, C, and E; ginger; turmeric; omega-3 fatty acids; or Zyflamend (an extract of 10 different herbs) [266]. Additional clinical trials are needed before alternative supplements can be recommended.
OPERATIVE TREATMENT
Operative treatment for osteoarthritis should be delayed until all possible nonoperative options have been exhausted [16]. In general, the indications for operative treatment are debilitating pain and major limitations in function and activities of daily living [16; 174].

In an effort to delay total knee or hip replacement, many have recommended arthroscopic lavage and debridement, but several studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses have shown that there is no evidence to support the efficacy of this approach for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee [267; 268; 269; 270]. In addition, comparisons between the use of intra-articular corticosteroids and joint lavage showed no differences between the two treatments with respect to efficacy or safety [211; 242; 243]. Arthroscopic lavage and debridement may be useful for removing unstable tissues (such as loose bodies, meniscal tears, or loose cartilage) that are causing mechanical symptoms [16; 267].

In its guideline on the management of knee osteoarthritis, the AAOS recommends against performing arthroscopy with debridement or lavage in patients with a primary diagnosis of symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee [211]. The AAOS notes that arthroscopic partial meniscectomy or removal of loose bodies is a common option for patients who have primary signs and symptoms of this condition, but that evidence regarding its efficacy is inconclusive and there were no significant treatment benefits in the one study that met inclusion criteria [211]. It is suggested that clinical judgment, along with patient preference, should guide the consideration for meniscectomy. The AAOS found insufficient evidence on arthroscopic treatment of the glenohumeral joint and is therefore unable to recommend for or against the procedure [184].

Experts have described satisfactory outcomes after arthroscopic debridement of the elbow [28; 271]. The ideal candidate for the procedure is younger than 60 years of age, is active, and has impingement pain at the extremes of the range of motion but not at the midpoint of the arc of motion or at rest [28; 55]. Compared with open debridement, the arthroscopic procedure is associated with decreased intraoperative bleeding and less postoperative pain. The procedure is technically demanding but is safe when performed by an experienced surgeon familiar with the technique [28].

Debridement (through arthroscopy or arthrotomy) of the ankle has relieved pain, decreased swelling and stiffness, and improved the activity level in more than half of patients [29]. Improvement is most likely when debridement is done to remove osteophytes, smooth unstable chondral surfaces, and remove loose bodies [29].

The 2013 AAOS guideline on the treatment of knee osteoarthritis includes a limited recommendation for valgus-producing proximal tibial osteotomy [211]. The panel reviewed nine low-strength studies that showed nine of 10 outcomes significantly improved from baseline and significantly reduced pain scores. Distal femoral (varus-producing) osteotomy was not evaluated because of a lack of studies meeting inclusion criteria.

Total Arthroplasty
Total arthroplasty (joint replacement) is considered when all other options have failed. Indications for the procedure are severe symptomatic disease (chronic pain and disability) [16]. The procedure has led to high rates of good-to-excellent results when done at the knee and hip and is cost-effective compared with nonoperative management [16; 35].
According to the National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions, referral for joint replacement surgery should be considered for people with osteoarthritis who experience joint symptoms (e.g., pain, stiffness, and reduced function) that have a substantial impact on their quality of life and are refractory to nonsurgical treatment. Referral should be made before there is prolonged and established functional limitation and severe pain. (http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=47862. Last accessed September 2, 2016.)

Level of Evidence: Expert Opinion/Consensus Statement

Knee Arthroplasty

In 2013, an estimated 700,740 total knee arthroplasties were completed in the United States [272]. According to the National Institutes of Health, the success of total knee arthroplasty in most patients is strongly supported by more than 20 years of follow-up data, with significant improvement in pain, joint function, and quality of life in 90% of patients [273]. However, some patients will experience prosthesis failure, and risk factors for failure include male gender, age younger than 55 years at the time of surgery, obesity, and the presence of comorbidities. In terms of factors related to the surgeon, greater procedure volume (both of the surgeon and the facility), prosthesis choice, and surgical technique (e.g., proper alignment of the prosthesis) all contribute to better patient outcomes [273]. It is important to note that both knee and hip arthroplasty are associated with a high risk of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism compared with other surgeries. Without prophylaxis, DVT will develop in most patients [274]. Therefore, prophylactic treatment, usually with either low-molecular-weight heparin or warfarin, is recommended for patients undergoing one of these procedures, unless contraindications are present.

Because there are anatomic differences in joint structure and size between men and women, a gender-specific knee prosthesis was designed specifically for women [275]. Researchers believed that the better fit would lead to improvements in recovery and outcomes for women who had total knee arthroplasty. In one study, 85 women who received a standard joint in one knee and the gender-specific joint in the other knee were followed up for two years after the surgery [275]. Patient satisfaction, range of motion while lying, and WOMAC scores were similar for both prostheses. The researchers did note that the standard prostheses appeared to fit at the distal part of the femur better than the gender-specific type; furthermore, the small size of the gender-specific prosthesis exposed more bone and resulted in more bleeding immediately after surgery. Although the study concluded that there were no benefits to the use of gender-specific prostheses in women undergoing total knee arthroplasty, research evaluating long-term effects is necessary.

Postoperative rehabilitation is a necessary component of recovery after operative treatment of osteoarthritis and requires cooperation of the entire multidisciplinary team. In the case of total knee arthroplasty, patients should be guided on a postoperative exercise and rehabilitation plan that focuses on obtaining an acceptable level of joint function, range of motion, and quality of life (e.g., ability to perform activities of daily living unassisted). In some cases, a continuous passive motion device may be used. This device has been suggested as a means to obtain greater range of motion more quickly after surgery [276]. While this may be the case, no long-term benefits (e.g., ultimate range of motion) have been definitively proven, and evidence on the short-term effects are conflicting [276; 277]. It is not a recommendation of the AAOS or the ACR at this time.
In general, the institution of a structured exercise plan, guided by the physician and physical therapist, will assist patients in regaining range of motion and return to performing daily activities. A daily physical therapy program after total knee arthroplasty should continue for four to six weeks, at which point the patient’s needs will be reassessed. According to one study, the greatest improvements in lower-extremity functional status after total knee arthroplasty were demonstrated in the first 12 weeks, with little improvement noted after 26 weeks [278]. By the end of physical therapy, the patient should be able to perform activities of daily living and progress to ambulating on flat surfaces and stairs. Strengthening and stretching exercises focusing on the hamstrings and quadriceps should be incorporated into the program.

There is some debate regarding the importance of supervised outpatient physical therapy compared with exercise programs carried out in the patient’s home. One meta-analysis of 10 randomized controlled trials found that supervised physical therapy provided no benefits for patients who were younger at the time of surgery and had few or no comorbidities [279]. However, the researchers noted that there is a lack of evidence regarding the use of outpatient physical therapy for older patients with comorbidities and those who have undergone more complicated surgeries.

One study that included older patients (60 to 79 years of age) was designed to determine the functional differences between the effects of supervised physiotherapy with a standardized home exercise program following total knee arthroplasty [280]. All patients were evaluated for joint range of motion, pain, functional status, overall quality of life, and depressive symptoms. Postoperative assessment showed a significant clinical improvement in both groups, and the authors found no significant difference between the groups in range of motion and functional status.

**Hip Arthroplasty**

Total hip arthroplasty is also relatively common, with 474,400 procedures completed in 2013 [281]. This procedure is recommended for the treatment of osteoarthritis in older patients for whom nonsurgical interventions have been ineffective. Some data suggest that the benefit of arthroplasty of the hip is greater when done earlier in the course of disease [35]. According to one study, female gender, the presence of comorbidities, contralateral hip osteoarthritis, back pain, and poor preintervention health or mental health status were predictors of poorer outcomes and lesser improvements in quality-of-life measures after total hip arthroplasty [282].

Although steps are taken to prevent it, leg length can be altered as a result of total hip arthroplasty. It is important for the leg on the operative side to be measured and, if there is a discrepancy, corrected with the use of orthotics.

As with knee arthroplasty, individuals who have undergone total hip arthroplasty require a physical therapy and exercise regimen that will allow them to obtain the optimal level of joint function and flexibility. The goals of therapy are the same as those described for total knee arthroplasty. One consideration is when to initiate physical therapy in order to gain the most improvement, particularly considering that improvements seem to plateau after 12 to 26 weeks. In a study of 593 patients who had total hip arthroplasty (performed by six different surgeons using different surgical techniques), 191 began physical therapy on the day of surgery and the remaining 402 patients began physical therapy on postoperative day 1 [283]. The length of stay was significantly shorter for the patients who had early physical therapy (2.16 days compared with 3.38 days).
Another consideration is the type of postoperative exercise and rehabilitation program recommended. One study compared a conventional rehabilitation program with the use of early maximal strength training in patients who had received a total hip replacement [284]. Individuals in the treatment group performed leg press and abduction with the operated leg five times a week for four weeks in addition to the conventional program (supervised physical therapy three to five times per week for four weeks). The researchers found that those who included maximal strength training in their postsurgical physical therapy had a significantly larger increase in muscular strength and a trend toward a better work efficiency than those in the conventional therapy group.

Other Joints

Other joint replacement procedures are not done as widely and are not associated with the same success as knee and hip arthroplasty. The AAOS guideline on the treatment of osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint includes a weak recommendation for total shoulder arthroplasty and hemiarthroplasty as options, with a moderate recommendation for total arthroplasty over hemiarthroplasty [184].

The use of total elbow arthroplasty is limited by the high risk for instability and loosening and is rarely used to treat primary osteoarthritis [28; 55]. When performed in younger patients, long-term success of the procedure has been limited because of high functional demands [28]. As a result, total replacement should be reserved for patients older than 65 years of age who are willing to accept low levels of activity [28; 55].

The complex anatomic and biomechanical features of the ankle joint have challenged the use of joint replacement [29]. New designs of prostheses have led to good-to-excellent outcomes postoperatively, but complications have included osteomyelitis and osteolysis. In addition, only short-term data are available.

CONCLUSION

An estimated 27 million adults have osteoarthritis, making it the most common joint disorder, and this number is expected to rise as the population grows older and lives longer. The disease is a leading cause of activity limitation and absenteeism among working-age adults and is associated with a significant decline in function among older individuals. The etiology of osteoarthritis is complex and not completely understood; some experts have theorized that osteoarthritis represents distinct disease entities according to the joint site, as the risk factors and clinical presentation vary across joints. This variation, along with a lack of correlation between symptoms and radiographic evidence, has created challenges in diagnosing osteoarthritis. In addition, clinicians must consider a wide range of differential diagnoses when evaluating a patient with joint pain. Diagnostic criteria have been well-established for osteoarthritis of the most common joints (knee, hip, and hand), and evidence-based recommendations for diagnosis of the knee and hand have been published. The clinical presentation and history remain the most important components of diagnosis for osteoarthritis at most joint sites. No curative therapy is available for osteoarthritis, and management is thus focused on decreasing pain and increasing function. Evolving evidence has shown that many commonly used treatment options for osteoarthritis offer no or limited benefit. Healthcare professionals must be familiar with the available evidence-based guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis (knee, hip, hand, and shoulder) and discuss appropriate options with their patients. A shared decision-making process and a multidisciplinary approach are keys to successful management.
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